

Boost up Your Certification Score

SAVE International VMA

Value Methodology Associate



For More Information – Visit link below:

<https://www.examsboost.com/>

Product Version

- ✓ Up to Date products, reliable and verified.
- ✓ Questions and Answers in PDF Format.

Visit us at: <https://www.examsboost.com/test/vma>

Latest Version: 6.0

Question: 1

Which phase of the VM Job Plan is considered the heart of the Value Methodology?

- A. Implementation
- B. Function Analysis
- C. Creativity
- D. Presentation

Answer: B

Explanation:

The Value Methodology (VM) Job Plan consists of six phases, as taught in the VMF 1 course (Core Competency #3: Value Methodology Job Plan). According to SAVE International's Value Methodology Standard, "the Function Analysis Phase is considered the heart of the Value Methodology because it establishes the foundation for value improvement by identifying, classifying, and analyzing the functions of the system, which drives all subsequent phases." Function Analysis (the second phase) defines what the system does (e.g., using verb-noun combinations, FAST diagrams) and sets the stage for generating ideas (Creativity), evaluating them (Evaluation), and developing solutions (Development). Without understanding functions, the VM process cannot effectively improve value (function/cost), making this phase central to the methodology's success. This was alluded to in questions like 37 (FAST diagram logic) and 44 (defining functions).

Option A (Implementation) is incorrect because Implementation is a post-study activity, not a formal phase of the VM Job Plan, and not the heart of VM.

Option B (Function Analysis) is correct, as it is the foundational phase that drives the entire VM process, per SAVE International's standards.

Option C (Creativity) is incorrect because, while important, Creativity relies on the functions identified in Function Analysis to generate ideas.

Option D (Presentation) is incorrect because Presentation is the final phase, focused on communicating results, not the core of the methodology.

[; SAVE International, "Value Methodology Standard and Body of Knowledge," available at <https://www.value-eng.org>, identifying Function Analysis as the heart of VM., SAVE International, VMF 1 Core Competency #3 (Value Methodology Job Plan), emphasizing the centrality of Function Analysis in the VM process., ,]

Question: 2

The best study results will usually come from applying the value methodology with:

- A. An executive-level task force
- B. A team working in similar disciplines
- C. The current project team

D. A multidisciplinary team with a selected set of skills

Answer: D

Explanation:

Value Methodology (VM) relies heavily on effective team dynamics to achieve optimal results, as emphasized in the VMF 1 course (Core Competency #5: Value Team Dynamics). According to SAVE International's Value Methodology Standard, "the best VM study results are typically achieved with a multidisciplinary team with a selected set of skills, bringing diverse perspectives and expertise to analyze functions, generate ideas, and develop solutions." A multidisciplinary team includes members from different disciplines (e.g., engineering, finance, design, operations) relevant to the project, ensuring a comprehensive understanding of the system and fostering innovative solutions through varied viewpoints. The VMF 1 course highlights that such teams are more effective at identifying value improvement opportunities because they combine technical, financial, and operational insights. Option A (An executive-level task force) is incorrect because executives may lack the technical expertise needed for detailed function analysis and idea generation, though they may sponsor the study. Option B (A team working in similar disciplines) is incorrect because a lack of diversity in perspectives can limit creativity and overlook key opportunities, which a multidisciplinary team avoids. Option C (The current project team) is incorrect because the project team may be too close to the problem, potentially leading to bias and a narrower focus, whereas a multidisciplinary team brings fresh perspectives.

Option D (A multidisciplinary team with a selected set of skills) is correct, as it aligns with VM best practices for achieving the best study results.

[; SAVE International, "Value Methodology Standard and Body of Knowledge," available at <https://www.value-eng.org>, emphasizing the importance of multidisciplinary teams in VM studies., SAVE International, VMF 1 Core Competency #5 (Value Team Dynamics), highlighting the effectiveness of diverse, skilled teams in VM.,]

Question: 3

Risks associated with a project, product, or process typically impact:

- A. Schedule, cost, or performance
- B. Cost, requirements, or results
- C. Resources, regulations, or time
- D. Time, function, or attributes

Answer: A

Explanation:

Risk management is a critical aspect of Implementation Planning in Value Methodology (VM), as it ensures that VM proposals can be successfully executed, as taught in the VMF 1 course (Core Competency #8: Implementation Planning). According to SAVE International's Value Methodology Standard, "risks associated with a project, product, or process typically impact schedule, cost, or performance." This is often referred to as the "project management triangle" or "triple constraint," a

fundamental concept in project management that VM adopts when assessing risks during the Development and Presentation Phases.

Schedule : Risks that cause delays (e.g., late delivery of materials).

Cost : Risks that increase expenses (e.g., unexpected cost overruns).

Performance : Risks that affect quality or functionality (e.g., a proposed solution failing to meet requirements).

The VMF 1 curriculum emphasizes that VM teams must identify and mitigate risks in these three areas to ensure the feasibility of their recommendations, making this the most relevant framework for understanding risk impacts in a VM context.

Option A (Schedule, cost, or performance) is correct, as it directly aligns with the standard risk impact areas in VM and project management.

Option B (Cost, requirements, or results) is incorrect because, while cost is relevant, “requirements” and “results” are less specific than “schedule” and “performance” in the context of VM risk management.

Option C (Resources, regulations, or time) is incorrect because, while time (schedule) is relevant, resources and regulations are more specific factors that contribute to broader impacts on schedule, cost, or performance.

Option D (Time, function, or attributes) is incorrect because, while time (schedule) is relevant, “function” and “attributes” are not standard risk impact categories in VM; performance is the broader term used.

[, SAVE International, “Value Methodology Standard and Body of Knowledge,” available at <https://www.value-eng.org>, section on risk management, referencing the impact of risks on schedule, cost, and performance., SAVE International, VMF 1 Core Competency #8 (Implementation Planning), emphasizing the project management triangle (schedule, cost, performance) in risk assessment.,]

Question: 4

Which of the following best describes a VM study?

- A. An analysis of a completed project design by a VM team
- B. An improvement process similar to Lean Six Sigma
- C. A workshop that applies the VM facilitated by a CVS
- D. A structured effort by a multidisciplinary team using the VM

Answer: D

Explanation:

A Value Methodology (VM) study is a core concept in the VMF 1 course (Core Competency #1: Value Methodology Overview). According to SAVE International’s Value Methodology Standard, a VM study is defined as “a structured effort by a multidisciplinary team using the Value Methodology to identify the functions of a project or process, establish a worth for each function, and develop alternatives to achieve those functions at the lowest overall cost while maintaining performance.” This definition emphasizes the structured nature of the VM Job Plan, the use of a multidisciplinary team (as noted in Question 24), and the focus on improving value through systematic analysis. This aligns with the description in Question 4, where a VM study was described as a structured effort using the VM process, but here the emphasis on a multidisciplinary team is key.

Option A (An analysis of a completed project design by a VM team) is incorrect because VM studies are not limited to completed designs; they can be applied at any stage of the project lifecycle, with the greatest impact early on (as noted in Question 21 and 25).

Option B (An improvement process similar to Lean Six Sigma) is incorrect because, while VM shares goals with Lean Six Sigma (e.g., improving efficiency), it is a distinct methodology focused on function analysis and value optimization, not process variation reduction.

Option C (A workshop that applies the VM facilitated by a CVS) is incorrect because, while VM studies are often conducted as workshops and may be facilitated by a Certified Value Specialist (CVS), a CVS is not required (as clarified in Question 4), and “workshop” is too narrow a description for a VM study.

Option D (A structured effort by a multidisciplinary team using the VM) is correct, as it best captures the essence of a VM study per SAVE International’s definition, emphasizing the structured process and multidisciplinary team.

[; SAVE International, “Value Methodology Standard and Body of Knowledge,” available at <https://www.value-eng.org>, defining a VM study as a structured effort by a multidisciplinary team., SAVE International, VMF 1 Core Competency #1 (Value Methodology Overview), emphasizing the structured, team-based nature of VM studies (consistent with Question 4).,]

Question: 5

Which are the three main characteristics of the Value Methodology?

- A. Systematic process, function analysis, CVS
- B. Function Analysis, brainstorming, teamwork
- C. Systematic process, multidisciplinary team, qualified VM facilitator
- D. Multidisciplinary team, cost reduction, function improvement

Answer: C

Explanation:

Value Methodology (VM) is defined by SAVE International in its Value Methodology Standard as “a systematic process that uses a structured Job Plan to improve the value of projects, products, or processes by analyzing their functions and identifying opportunities to achieve required functions at the lowest total cost without compromising quality or performance” (as noted in Question 23). The VMF 1 course (Core Competency #1: Value Methodology Overview) highlights three main characteristics that define VM:

Systematic process : VM follows a methodical, step-by-step approach (the VM Job Plan) to ensure consistency and effectiveness.

Multidisciplinary team : VM studies are conducted by a diverse team with varied expertise to bring different perspectives (as emphasized in Question 24).

Qualified VM facilitator : A facilitator trained in VM (often, but not always, a Certified Value Specialist) ensures the process is applied correctly and the team achieves optimal results.

While a Certified Value Specialist (CVS) is often involved, the broader characteristic is a qualified VM facilitator, as not all studies require a CVS (as noted in Question 4).

Option A (Systematic process, function analysis, CVS) is incorrect because, while systematic process and function analysis are key, a CVS is not a defining characteristic; a qualified facilitator is more broadly applicable.

Option B (Function Analysis, brainstorming, teamwork) is incorrect because these are components or activities within VM, not the main characteristics that define the methodology.

Option C (Systematic process, multidisciplinary team, qualified VM facilitator) is correct, as it captures the three core characteristics of VM per SAVE International's standards.

Option D (Multidisciplinary team, cost reduction, function improvement) is incorrect because cost reduction and function improvement are outcomes of VM, not defining characteristics; the systematic process and facilitation are more fundamental.

[; SAVE International, "Value Methodology Standard and Body of Knowledge," available at <https://www.value-eng.org>, defining VM as a systematic process with a multidisciplinary team and facilitated approach., SAVE International, VMF 1 Core Competency #1 (Value Methodology Overview), emphasizing the systematic, team-based, and facilitated nature of VM (consistent with Question 23 and 24).,]

Question: 6

The unique aspect of the value methodology is its application to anything that:

- A. includes an activity.
- B. performs a function.
- C. is goal-oriented.
- D. is a subject of study.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Value Methodology (VM) is a versatile methodology, as taught in the VMF 1 course (Core Competency #1: Value Methodology Overview). According to SAVE International's Value Methodology Standard, "the unique aspect of the Value Methodology is its focus on function analysis, making it applicable to anything that performs a function—whether a product, process, system, or service." VM's core principle is to improve value (function/cost) by analyzing what something does (its functions) and finding better ways to achieve those functions at lower cost without sacrificing performance. This focus on functions (e.g., "mark surface" for a pen, Question 38; "contain liquid" for a teacup, Question 44) allows VM to be applied universally to anything with a definable function, distinguishing it from other methodologies like Lean or Six Sigma, which focus on process efficiency or quality.

Option A (includes an activity) is incorrect because, while activities describe how functions are performed, VM's unique aspect is its focus on functions, not activities.

Option B (performs a function) is correct, as VM's defining feature is its application to anything with a function, per SAVE International's standards.

Option C (is goal-oriented) is incorrect because many methodologies are goal-oriented; VM's uniqueness lies in its function-based approach.

Option D (is a subject of study) is incorrect because being a subject of study is too broad; VM specifically targets subjects that perform functions.

[; SAVE International, "Value Methodology Standard and Body of Knowledge," available at <https://www.value-eng.org>, emphasizing VM's unique focus on functions., SAVE International, VMF 1 Core Competency #1 (Value Methodology Overview), highlighting the applicability of VM to anything that performs a function.,]

Question: 7

Identify which are key data used to transform information for a product value study:

- A. Flow diagrams, latest cost estimate, labor reports, drawings, site plan, regulatory requirements
- B. Customer requirements, overhead cost, competitive analysis, sample components, packaging requirements, warranty information
- C. Design objectives, original cost estimate, drawings, specifications, resource models, customer demographics
- D. Customer demographics, overhead cost, drawings, competitive analysis, sample components, labor reports

Answer: C

Explanation:

The Information Phase of the Value Methodology (VM) Job Plan involves gathering and transforming data to understand the subject of the study, as taught in the VMF 1 course (Core Competency #3: Value Methodology Job Plan). According to SAVE International's Value Methodology Standard, "key data for a product value study typically includes design objectives, cost estimates, drawings, specifications, and resource models, which are transformed to define functions, costs, and constraints." These data types are essential for a product-focused study (as opposed to a process or construction project), enabling the VM team to:

Understand the product's purpose (design objectives).

Analyze costs (original cost estimate, before optimization).

Review technical details (drawings, specifications).

Assess resource use (resource models). Customer demographics may provide context but are not core to transforming information for a product value study.

Option A (Flow diagrams, latest cost estimate, labor reports, drawings, site plan, regulatory requirements) : This is more suited for a process or construction project (e.g., flow diagrams, site plan), not a product value study.

Option B (Customer requirements, overhead cost, competitive analysis, sample components, packaging requirements, warranty information) : While customer requirements and sample components are relevant, competitive analysis, packaging, and warranty are secondary; overhead cost is too specific and not a core data type for transformation.

Option C (Design objectives, original cost estimate, drawings, specifications, resource models, customer demographics) : This is correct, as it includes the core data types for a product value study (design objectives, cost estimate, drawings, specifications, resource models), though customer demographics are less critical but acceptable as context.

Option D (Customer demographics, overhead cost, drawings, competitive analysis, sample components, labor reports) : This includes less relevant data (customer demographics, competitive analysis, labor reports) and misses key items like design objectives and specifications.

Option C (Design objectives, original cost estimate, drawings, specifications, resource models, customer demographics) is correct, as it best aligns with the key data needed for a product value study.

[; SAVE International, "Value Methodology Standard and Body of Knowledge," available

at <https://www.value-eng.org>, detailing data types for the Information Phase in product value studies.,

SAVE International, VMF 1 Core Competency #3 (Value Methodology Job Plan), emphasizing key data for transforming information (consistent with Question 39).,]

Thank You for Trying Our Product

For More Information – **Visit link below:**

<https://www.examsboost.com/>

15 USD Discount Coupon Code:

G74JA8UF

FEATURES

- ✓ **90 Days Free Updates**
- ✓ **Money Back Pass Guarantee**
- ✓ **Instant Download or Email Attachment**
- ✓ **24/7 Live Chat Support**
- ✓ **PDF file could be used at any Platform**
- ✓ **50,000 Happy Customer**



Visit us at: <https://www.examsboost.com/test/vma>