

Boost up Your Certification Score

SAP C_SIGVT_2608

**SAP Certified - RISE with SAP Transformation - Agent-Led
Toolchain**



For More Information – Visit link below:

<https://www.examsboost.com/>

Product Version

- ✓ Up to Date products, reliable and verified.
- ✓ Questions and Answers in PDF Format.

Latest Version: 4.0

Topic: 1

Unified Scenario Simulation

Beginning a Clean-Core S/4HANA Transformation

Business Context

Company Background

Voskuijlen Brewing in Roeselare, Belgium runs a growing regional brewery and has signed up for SAP S/4HANA under RISE with SAP. Its legacy system carried many finance and logistics modifications built directly into the core over the years.

Transformation Context

Leadership has heard that keeping the core clean is central to a smooth transformation but the team is unsure what that means in practice for its extensions and future upgrades.

Current Situation

Every past upgrade forced a lengthy retest of the in-core modifications, and the team wants to avoid repeating that pattern in the new environment while still meeting genuine business needs.

Decision Point

The transformation lead must decide how to treat existing modifications, how any new extension should be built, and how the commitment to a clean core will be measured over time.

Improvement Goals

The near-term goal is a shared understanding of clean core, a defensible approach to extensions, and a way to track adherence so upgrades stay low-risk and innovation can be adopted quickly.

Your assignment

You are advising the Voskuijlen Brewing transformation team in its first weeks on S/4HANA. For each challenge, recommend the approach that best preserves a clean, upgrade-stable core while meeting real business needs

1. CHALLENGE 1 — Understanding what clean core requires

- State what clean core keeps standard and why.
- Explain how clean core affects upgrade effort.
- Clarify whether extensions remain possible under clean core.

Checkpoints

- The team can explain clean core in terms of upgrade stability.
- The team recognizes extensions are still allowed through approved means.

2. CHALLENGE 2 — Choosing how to build a new extension

- Identify where the extension logic should live.
- Avoid modifying standard objects.
- Justify the choice in clean-core terms.

Checkpoints

- The chosen approach keeps the standard core unmodified.
- The requirement is still met.

Question: 1

CHALLENGE 1 — Understanding what clean core requires

What does keeping the core clean primarily protect?

- A. The size of the database used by the system
- B. The ability to upgrade smoothly at low cost and risk
- C. The number of user licenses the company holds
- D. The color scheme of the user interface, at least for the initial rollout

Answer: B

Explanation:

A standard core upgrades without reworking modifications, which is the core benefit clean core protects.

Question: 2

CHALLENGE 1 — Understanding what clean core requires

A manager claims clean core means no extensions of any kind are ever allowed. How should the team respond?

- A. The manager is correct that all extension is permanently forbidden
- B. Extensions are allowed only if written directly into the standard core
- C. Extensions are allowed, but through released, side-by-side approaches that keep the core standard
- D. Extensions are a database concern unrelated to the core, since it looked like the least disruptive choice for the teams during rollout

Answer: C

Explanation:

Clean core channels extensions to approved mechanisms rather than banning them outright.

Question: 3

CHALLENGE 1 — Understanding what clean core requires

Why did past upgrades take so long in the legacy system?

- A. The company had too few users to run the upgrade, to keep the current phase moving
- B. The upgrades were delayed by interface color changes
- C. The database was simply too small to upgrade, at least for the initial rollout
- D. In-core modifications had to be retested and reconciled at every upgrade

Answer: D

Explanation:

Modifications embedded in the core force repeated retesting, the pattern clean core removes.

Question: 4

CHALLENGE 2 — Choosing how to build a new extension

Which approach meets the requirement while preserving clean core?

- A. Edit the standard transaction code directly, at least for the initial rollout
- B. Build the logic on a released, side-by-side extension point
- C. Fork the standard object into a private copy
- D. Hardcode the values into master data records

Answer: B

Explanation:

Released extensibility delivers the need without modifying the standard core, keeping it upgrade-safe.

Question: 5

CHALLENGE 2 — Choosing how to build a new extension

A developer says modifying the core is faster today. Why is that a poor trade-off?

- A. It uses more database storage than an extension, which the project accepted as a reasonable trade-off under the current timeline
- B. It requires buying additional user licenses, in the belief that periodic reporting alone would keep the risk contained
- C. It reintroduces upgrade retesting and long-term maintenance the team is trying to escape
- D. It changes the color of the standard transaction

Answer: C

Explanation:

A quick core change recreates the exact upgrade burden the transformation aims to remove.

Topic: 2

Micro Skill Drill Exam

Question: 6

Crestola Bakeries in Graz, Austria is mid-transformation and the steering committee only learns of slipping deliverables when a workstream lead reports verbally at the monthly meeting. By then dependent tasks have already stalled.

How should SAP Cloud ALM be used to address this?

- A. Replace the steering committee with an email digest summarizing progress once a quarter
- B. Ask each lead to keep a private spreadsheet and share it only if asked, on the grounds that the team had managed acceptably this way in the past
- C. Track project tasks and milestones in Cloud ALM so status and delays are visible continuously rather than only monthly
- D. Wait for issues to appear in production and then trace them back to the responsible task

Answer: C

Explanation:

Cloud ALM's project management gives a live view of tasks and milestones, surfacing slippage as it happens instead of at a monthly verbal readout.

Question: 7

Ottoline Brewing in Ghent, Belgium redesigned its procure-to-pay flow and believes the new design is faithfully followed. Using SAP Signavio Process Intelligence on event data from the live system, an analyst sees many cases skipping the approval step the target model requires. What does this comparison between the executed and designed process reveal?

- A. A conformance gap where real execution deviates from the target model, which the team must investigate and address
- B. An indication that Process Intelligence cannot read approval steps and should be disabled
- C. Proof that the target model is wrong and should be changed to make approvals optional
- D. A data error, since a live process always matches its published diagram, in the belief that periodic reporting alone would keep the risk contained

Answer: A

Explanation:

Process Intelligence compares mined event data to the designed model and exposes conformance deviations like the skipped approval, directing the team to the real gap.

Question: 8

Halvard Foundry in Leon, Mexico runs its transformation with SAP LeanIX for architecture, SAP Signavio for processes, and SAP Cloud ALM for delivery, but each tool describes the same business capability under a different name and identifier. Impact analysis breaks whenever a capability spans two tools. What is the value of establishing a unified meta model across these tools?

- A. It gives the tools a shared definition of business objects so a capability maps consistently across LeanIX, Signavio, and Cloud ALM
- B. It lets each tool keep its own naming because the model translates freely at report time
- C. It merges the three tools into a single application so only one interface remains in use, which the project accepted as a reasonable trade-off under the current timeline

D. It replaces the need for any integration by exporting everything to spreadsheets nightly

Answer: A

Explanation:

A unified meta model aligns the entities each tool uses, so the same capability is recognizable across all three and cross-tool impact analysis holds together.

Question: 9

Yarrowine Dairies in Tampere, Finland has launched a broad transformation but each workstream defines its own stages, artifacts, and sign-offs. Leadership finds it impossible to compare progress across teams because nothing shares a common structure or vocabulary.

What does adopting the SAP Business Transformation Framework primarily provide here?

- A. A licensing bundle that reduces the per-user cost of the transformation software the teams use
- B. A standardized framework that gives every workstream common phases, artifacts, and terminology to align on
- C. An automated tool that writes the process documentation for each team without human input, to avoid extra review effort
- D. A one-time audit that grades each workstream and then leaves them to continue independently

Answer: B

Explanation:

The framework supplies a shared, structured methodology so all workstreams follow comparable phases and language, which is precisely the alignment leadership lacks.

Question: 10

Damaris Textile in Braga, Portugal is about to begin its S/4HANA conversion. The team wants to know, before touching the system, which of its custom objects and add-ons will be incompatible and how much simplification effort lies ahead.

Which activity produces this pre-project insight?

- A. Ask each developer to recall from memory which objects might be affected
- B. Begin the conversion and fix incompatibilities as the system errors reveal them
- C. Run the SAP Readiness Check to surface custom-code, add-on, and simplification findings ahead of the conversion
- D. Purchase additional user licenses to cover any objects that fail later, treating it as good enough for now and something to revisit in a later phase

Answer: C

Explanation:

Readiness Check analyzes the existing system and reports incompatibilities, add-on status, and simplification items before the project starts, which is exactly the foresight requested.

Thank You for Trying Our Product

For More Information – **Visit link below:**

<https://www.examsboost.com/>

15 USD Discount Coupon Code:

G74JA8UF

FEATURES

- ✓ **90 Days Free Updates**
- ✓ **Money Back Pass Guarantee**
- ✓ **Instant Download or Email Attachment**
- ✓ **24/7 Live Chat Support**
- ✓ **PDF file could be used at any Platform**
- ✓ **50,000 Happy Customer**



Visit us at: <https://www.examsboost.com/test/c-sigvt-2608>