

Boost up Your Certification Score

SAP C_TS470

**SAP Certified - SAP S/4HANA Cloud Private Edition,
Service**



For More Information – Visit link below:

<https://www.examsboost.com/>

Product Version

- ✓ Up to Date products, reliable and verified.
- ✓ Questions and Answers in PDF Format.

Latest Version: 4.0

1. Unified Scenario Simulation
2. Micro Skill Drill Exam

Topic: 1

Unified Scenario Simulation

Windermere Reactors: Choosing and Framing an SAP S/4HANA Service Foundation

Business context

COMPANY BACKGROUND

Windermere Reactors, based in Preston, designs, sells, and services pressurised process vessels used by chemical and food producers across northern Britain. After two decades of steady growth it now maintains a large installed base of units in the field, each needing periodic inspection, repair, and certification. Its service teams have long relied on disconnected spreadsheets, a standalone ticket tool, and a separate billing package, none of which share data with the finance and logistics systems the rest of the company already runs on SAP.

THE SERVICE OPERATION TODAY

Field engineers receive work by email and phone, record findings on paper, and hand billing details to an administrator who re-keys them into a separate package. Because nothing is joined up, the same customer and equipment details are entered several times, and month-end reconciliation between the service tool and finance consumes days. Leadership is convinced the fragmentation, not the staff, is the real constraint on growth.

PRESSURES FOR CHANGE

Two large framework contracts have raised service volumes sharply, and a regulator now expects Windermere to demonstrate controlled records and change management for the systems handling safety-critical inspections. The board wants one integrated platform where requests, orders, and billing share master data with finance and logistics, and where the company keeps control over customisation and the timing of upgrades.

THE CONSULTING BRIEF

You have been asked to guide the earliest and most consequential decisions: which SAP direction fits, how it should be deployed, how staff will interact with it, and how the scope should be framed so the project does not simply recreate the old fragmented world inside a new system. Leadership is wary of a big-bang cutover and of importing years of legacy workarounds.

WHAT LEADERSHIP VALUES

Above all, the board wants an integrated core rather than another island, control appropriate to a regulated operation, and a disciplined scope that adopts standard processes wherever possible. It has adopted a clean-core mindset and expects every deviation from the standard to be justified rather than assumed.

Your assignment

You are the SAP S/4HANA Service consultant engaged by Windermere Reactors as it plans its first move onto an integrated service platform. Your task is advisory: for each challenge you weigh the options a consultant faces and recommend the soundest direction for selecting the solution, choosing the deployment model, framing the interface approach, and scoping the work in a clean-core way. You are not configuring the system yet; you are reasoning toward guidance that keeps the foundation coherent and durable

1. CHALLENGE 1 — Selecting the Service Solution Fit

- Recommend the solution direction that integrates service execution with finance and logistics on one core.
- Explain why an integrated core removes the reconciliation burden the company suffers today.
- Contrast the integrated option with connecting the existing standalone tools by interfaces.
- Frame the recommendation in terms of the company's growth and record-control pressures.

Checkpoints

- ☑ The recommendation rests on shared master data across service, finance, and logistics.
- ☑ Cross-system reconciliation is shown to disappear under an integrated core rather than be automated.
- ☑ Superficial data-consolidation workarounds are rejected in favour of true integration.

2. CHALLENGE 2 — Choosing the Deployment Model

- Recommend the deployment model that preserves needed customisation and upgrade-timing control.
- Explain why customisation depth and upgrade governance, not price, drive the choice.
- Address the regulator's expectation of controlled change on safety-critical systems.
- Distinguish the model from a fully shared environment upgraded for everyone at once.

Checkpoints

- ☑ The choice is justified by customisation retention and controlled upgrade timing.
- ☑ Price and feature-count myths are explicitly set aside as the deciding factor.
- ☑ The regulated change-control expectation is addressed by the chosen model.

3. CHALLENGE 3 — Framing the User Interface Approach

- Recommend the role-based apps as the strategic default interface.
- Explain where classic screens still have a legitimate place.
- Give staff a clear rule for which interface to use.
- Avoid framing the launchpad as merely a login page.

Checkpoints

- ☑ Role-based apps are positioned as the strategic front end.
- ☑ Classic screens are retained as a documented fallback, not the default.
- ☑ The guidance is principled rather than habit-driven.

4. CHALLENGE 4 — Scoping Service the Clean-Core Way

- Recommend a fit-to-standard approach that adopts standard service processes first.
- Explain how deviations should be handled and recorded.
- Show how a rare edge case can be supported without reshaping the core.
- Guard against lifting legacy modifications wholesale into the new system.

Checkpoints

- ☑ Standard-first adoption is the basis, with justified deviations recorded.
- ☑ A rare exception is bounded rather than allowed to reshape the mainstream.
- ☑ Lift-and-shift of legacy customisation is rejected.

Question: 1

CHALLENGE 1 — Selecting the Service Solution Fit

Leadership asks which solution direction best fits an operation needing service, finance, and logistics to share one set of master data. What do you recommend?

- An integrated SAP S/4HANA Service solution where service execution shares master data and documents with finance and logistics.
- Connecting the existing standalone tools with point-to-point interfaces so nothing is re-implemented, and this applies to every service transaction without exception.
- Centralising only the billing tool while leaving requests and orders on their current systems.

D. Adding a nightly reporting copy so managers at least see one combined view of the numbers.

Answer: A

Explanation:

An integrated solution shares master data and documents across the core, which is exactly the join-up the operation needs.

Question: 2

CHALLENGE 1 — Selecting the Service Solution Fit

An administrator asks why an integrated core would end the painful month-end reconciliation. What is the best explanation?

- A. Because staff will retype the service totals into finance more carefully each month, and this applies to every service transaction without exception.
- B. Because service documents post into the same shared finance and logistics data, there is no separate system whose numbers must be reconciled.
- C. Because the reporting copy will be refreshed twice a day instead of once, and this applies to every service transaction without exception.
- D. Because reconciliation is unavoidable and can only be scheduled for a quieter week.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Shared posting into one core removes the second system, so reconciliation between systems simply does not arise.

Question: 3

CHALLENGE 1 — Selecting the Service Solution Fit

A manager worries that adopting service means yet another disconnected island. How should you frame the integrated option against that fear?

- A. As a self-contained application that keeps its own private copy of every customer and material.
- B. As a reporting layer that only reads finished figures after other departments finish their work, and this applies to every service transaction without exception.
- C. As part of the shared digital core that reuses the same customers, equipment, and documents rather than a separate island storing its own copies.
- D. As an add-on kept deliberately disconnected from finance for safety, and this applies to every service transaction without exception.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Framing service as part of the shared core, reusing existing master data, directly answers the fear of another island.

Question: 4

CHALLENGE 2 — Choosing the Deployment Model

Given the need to keep custom variants and control upgrade timing, which deployment characteristic most justifies the private edition?

- A. That it always ships more service features than any alternative deployment.
- B. The ability to retain custom process variants and govern when upgrades are applied.
- C. That it is simply the cheapest subscription available to the company.
- D. That it is the only option usable when a site occasionally loses internet access, and this applies to every service transaction without exception.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Retained customisation plus controlled upgrade timing are the defining reasons to choose the private edition here.

Question: 5

CHALLENGE 2 — Choosing the Deployment Model

The regulator expects controlled change on safety-critical systems. How does the deployment choice support that?

- A. A shared environment upgraded for all customers at once satisfies the regulator automatically, and this applies to every service transaction without exception.
- B. Disconnecting the system from all updates forever proves change is controlled.
- C. A customer-controlled environment lets Windermere influence upgrade timing so changes are planned and governed.
- D. Storing records on individual laptops demonstrates local control of change.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Customer-controlled upgrade timing gives the planned, governed change the regulator expects.

Question: 6

CHALLENGE 2 — Choosing the Deployment Model

A finance director argues the decision should be made purely on lowest price. Why push back?

- A. Because the more expensive option is always the better one by definition.

- B. Because price never matters at all in any software decision, and this applies to every service transaction without exception.
- C. Because both editions are identical, so the cheaper one is automatically correct, and this applies to every service transaction without exception.
- D. Because the editions differ materially in customisation and upgrade control, so fit to those needs should drive the choice, not price alone.

Answer: D

Explanation:

Fit to customization and upgrade-control needs is the sound oasis; price alone ignores the maternal differences.

Question: 7

CHALLENGE 3 — Framing the User Interface Approach

How should you position the interface clients for Windermere's service staff?

- A. Classic screens for everyone always, since the apps cannot process service transactions, and this applies to every service transaction without exception.
- B. Whatever window each agent opens first each morning, to avoid imposing rules.
- C. Role-based apps as the strategic default, with classic screens available where an app equivalent is not yet used.
- D. The launchpad as a login page only, with all real work done in classic screens.

Answer: C

Explanation:

A role-based default plus a classic fallback gives staff a clear, principled interface approach.

Question: 8

CHALLENGE 3 — Framing the User Interface Approach

A supervisor claims the browser launchpad is just a login page. How do you correct this?

- A. Agree, and tell staff to log in there and then switch to classic screens for everything, and this applies to every service transaction without exception.
- B. Agree, and disable the launchpad to reduce confusion.
- C. Agree, since browser apps can never handle a service transaction.
- D. The launchpad hosts the role-based service apps where the actual work is done, not just a sign-in step.

Answer: D

Explanation:

Clarifying that the launchpad carries the working apps corrects the misconception directly.

Question: 9

CHALLENGE 3 — Framing the User Interface Approach

New joiners ask a simple rule for choosing an interface. What guidance keeps it principled?

- A. Use the role-based app for the task where one exists, and fall back to the classic screen only where it does not.
- B. Always pick the interface a mentor personally prefers, whatever the task, and this applies to every service transaction without exception.
- C. Alternate between interfaces daily so everyone learns both equally.
- D. Avoid the apps entirely until every classic screen has been retired.

Answer: A

Explanation:

A rule tied to task and app availability keeps interface choice principled rather than habitual.

Question: 10

CHALLENGE 4 — Scoping Service the Clean-Core Way

To honour the clean-core expectation, what should the team do first when setting scope?

- A. Copy every legacy modification forward so nothing users know will change.
- B. Freeze the standard as untouchable and build entirely new custom processes beside it, and this applies to every service transaction without exception.
- C. Let each department configure whatever it prefers once the system is live.
- D. Run a fit-to-standard review that adopts standard service processes and records a justification for each needed deviation.

Answer: D

Explanation:

Fit-to-standard adoption with justified deviations is exactly the clean-core discipline the board asked for.

Question: 11

CHALLENGE 4 — Scoping Service the Clean-Core Way

A manager insists a rare edge case must drive the core design. How do you reconcile that with clean core?

- A. Design the mainstream on the standard and handle the edge case as a controlled exception rather than reshaping the core around it.
- B. Rebuild the whole core process around the rare case so no exception is needed.

- C. Refuse to support the edge case and handle it manually off-system forever, and this applies to every service transaction without exception.
- D. Duplicate the standard under a new name and diverge it until it fits only the edge case, and this applies to every service transaction without exception.

Answer: A

Explanation:

Keeping the mainstream standard and bounding the rarity as an exception preserves clean core while still covering it.

Question: 12

CHALLENGE 4 — Scoping Service the Clean-Core Way

During blueprinting someone proposes importing years of legacy workarounds verbatim. What is the strongest counter?

- A. Import everything verbatim so the new system feels identical to the old one, and this applies to every service transaction without exception.
- B. Map only what still adds value into the standard process, rather than replicating obsolete workarounds that recreate the old fragmentation.
- C. Discard all history on go-live day because the past can never matter again.
- D. Run the legacy workarounds in parallel forever so no decision is needed, and this applies to every service transaction without exception.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Mapping real value into the standard, not replicating workarounds, keeps the new system clean and avoids recreating the old world.

Topic: 2

Micro Skill Drill Exam

Question: 13

Nordvane Turbines in Aarhus runs field service for its wind-turbine servicing customers on ageing standalone tools and wants one platform where service requests, orders, and billing share master data with logistics and finance. Leadership asks which SAP direction fits an operation that needs deep configuration control and its own release cadence.

Which solution best fits Nordvane Turbines's need for a fully configurable, integrated service platform under its own control?

- A. Adopt SAP S/4HANA Service in the private edition, where service processes run on one integrated core the customer configures and governs.
- B. Keep the standalone tools and connect them with point-to-point interfaces so nothing has to be re-implemented, and this applies to every service transaction without exception.

- C. Move only the billing step to a spreadsheet shared across teams so at least invoicing is centralised.
- D. Deploy a separate reporting database that copies numbers nightly so managers finally see one view.

Answer: A

Explanation:

S/4HANA Service in the private edition gives the integrated core plus the deep configuration control and release governance the operation requires.

Question: 14

Nordvane Turbines in Aarhus is setting up its service organisation and cannot decide how the service units should relate to the existing sales and company structures for its wind-turbine servicing operation. Transactions keep failing to find a responsible unit.

What is the correct first step to make service transactions resolve a responsible organisational unit?

- A. Leave the organisational model empty and let each agent type the responsible unit by hand on every document, and this applies to every service transaction without exception.
- B. Build the service organisational model and link it to the enterprise structure so transactions can determine the responsible unit.
- C. Assign the same single unit to every transaction regardless of who actually performs the work.
- D. Delete the sales structure so the service structure has nothing to conflict with during determination.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Modelling the service organisation and connecting it to the enterprise structure is what lets transactions determine a responsible unit.

Question: 15

Nordvane Turbines in Aarhus services complex wind-turbine servicing units it has sold to customers and wants each physical unit tracked individually so its whole service history stays attached to that exact unit.

Which master-data object best represents an individually tracked customer unit for service history?

- A. A material master alone, since a material describes a serviceable individual unit completely, and this applies to every service transaction without exception.
- B. A pricing condition, because prices are where a unit's service history is normally stored.
- C. An equipment record, which represents an individual serviceable object and carries its own history over time.
- D. A sales organisation, since organisational units are where individual machines are recorded.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Equipment represents a single serviceable object and accumulates its own history, exactly what per-unit tracking needs.

Question: 16

Nordvane Turbines in Aarhus handles inbound wind-turbine servicing service calls through agents who must first confirm who is calling before any request can be logged reliably.

What is the correct first action for an agent taking an inbound service call?

- A. Immediately create a service order before knowing who is calling, to save time.
- B. Ask the caller to email the details later instead of capturing anything on the call, and this applies to every service transaction without exception.
- C. Transfer every call to billing regardless of the reason for the call.
- D. Identify and confirm the account and contact so the request is logged against the right customer.

Answer: D

Explanation:

Confirming the account and contact first ensures every subsequent request is attached to the correct customer.

Question: 17

Nordvane Turbines in Aarhus plans, executes, and bills wind-turbine servicing service work and wants one document type that can carry planned items, capture what was actually done, and feed billing.

Which document is the operational backbone that carries service execution from planning to billing readiness?

- A. The service order, which holds planned service items and, through confirmation, becomes the basis for billing.
- B. The functional location, because places are where service execution is recorded, and this applies to every service transaction without exception.
- C. The measuring point, since readings alone drive planning and billing.
- D. The business partner, because the customer record processes the service work.

Answer: A

Explanation:

The service order carries planning and, via confirmation, feeds billing, making it the operational backbone the team needs.

Question: 18

Nordvane Turbines in Aarhus repairs wind-turbine servicing units that customers ship in, and needs one process that receives the object, diagnoses it, repairs it, and returns it while tracking each stage. Which process is designed to receive, diagnose, repair, and return a customer object?

- A. On-site service, because sending a technician to the customer covers a shipped-in repair, and this applies to every service transaction without exception.
- B. The in-house repair process, which manages receipt, diagnosis, repair, and return of the customer object.
- C. A solution quotation, since a proposal document performs the physical repair.
- D. A measuring point, because readings carry out the repair stages.

Answer: B

Explanation:

In-house repair is purpose-built to receive, diagnose, repair, and return the object while tracking each stage.

Thank You for Trying Our Product

For More Information – **Visit link below:**

<https://www.examsboost.com/>

15 USD Discount Coupon Code:

G74JA8UF

FEATURES

- ✓ **90 Days Free Updates**
- ✓ **Money Back Pass Guarantee**
- ✓ **Instant Download or Email Attachment**
- ✓ **24/7 Live Chat Support**
- ✓ **PDF file could be used at any Platform**
- ✓ **50,000 Happy Customer**



Visit us at: <https://www.examsboost.com/test/c-ts470>