

Boost up Your Certification Score

SAP C_THR88

SAP Certified - SAP SuccessFactors Learning



For More Information – Visit link below:

<https://www.examsboost.com/>

Product Version

- ✓ **Up to Date products, reliable and verified.**
- ✓ **Questions and Answers in PDF Format.**

Visit us at: <https://www.examsboost.com/test/c-thr88>

Latest Version: 4.0

1. Micro Skill Drill Exam
2. Unified Scenario Exam

Topic: 1

Micro Skill Drill Exam

Question: 1

A financial services firm is migrating historical learning records into SAP SuccessFactors Learning as part of a public cloud implementation. The initial import shows that several completed regulatory courses appear in learner history, but the corresponding training requirements still show as incomplete for a subset of employees.

The compliance manager needs reliable status evidence before the next branch audit cycle begins. The project team can quickly close the open requirements manually, but the implementation lead wants to confirm whether the imported learning history is correctly recognized by the requirement structure before additional history files are loaded.

Which action best prevents recurring migration errors while meeting the compliance objective?

Response:

- A. Manually close the incomplete requirements for the affected employees and continue loading the remaining history files.
- B. Exclude migrated learning history from compliance reporting until all historical files have been loaded and reviewed.
- C. Validate the imported completion data against the requirement structure and correct the import or mapping issue before loading additional history records.
- D. Create a new compliance requirement for migrated employees so their historical completions do not need to align with the current requirement structure.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Feedback:

This option addresses the issue at the data migration and requirement-recognition layer. Historical completions appearing in learner history but not satisfying requirements indicate a mapping or structural alignment problem. Correcting that issue before additional loads reduces repeated errors and supports reliable compliance evidence.

Question: 2

A regional facilities-services provider is configuring SAP SuccessFactors Learning for restricted-equipment authorization. Employees must complete a required safety item and receive a certificate before being scheduled for equipment use. During pilot testing, learners who complete the item receive certificates, but the certificate is generated before the completion record is approved by the responsible supervisor.

The equipment compliance manager needs the certificate process corrected before the next authorization review. The learning administrator can revoke early certificates manually, but the process owner wants certificates to be generated only after the governed completion and approval sequence is satisfied.

Which action best resolves the certificate timing issue while preserving the authorization control?

Response:

- A. Revoke early certificates manually and remind supervisors to review completion records more quickly in future cycles.
- B. Validate the certificate generation condition, completion approval status, and linkage between approved completion and certificate output.
- C. Remove the supervisor approval requirement so certificate generation aligns with learner completion immediately.
- D. Issue a separate supervisor email confirming authorization whenever a certificate was generated before approval.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Feedback:

This option addresses the issue at the certificate-condition and approval-status layer. Learner completion is recognized, but certificate output is occurring before the governed approval step is satisfied. Validating the generation condition and approval linkage ensures certificates represent authorized completion evidence.

Question: 3

A regional mental-health support organization uses SAP SuccessFactors Learning to track crisis-response training for helpline supervisors. The monthly operations report should show completion counts by helpline region and include only supervisors assigned to active helpline teams. The latest output shows correct completion totals, but it includes inactive teams as separate report rows.

The operations director needs the report before a service-readiness meeting in three business days. The reporting analyst can delete inactive-team rows manually, but the learning manager wants a reusable report definition that reflects the active operating structure for future reviews.

Which action best resolves the report structure issue while supporting repeatable readiness review?

Response:

- A. Delete inactive-team rows from the exported report before each monthly readiness meeting.
- B. Ask regional managers to submit active-team completion counts outside SAP SuccessFactors Learning.
- C. Continue using the report because the completion totals are accurate even if inactive teams appear as rows.
- D. Validate the report population criteria, active-team filter, and regional grouping behavior so only active helpline teams appear in the output.

Answer: D

Explanation:

Feedback:

This option addresses the issue at the reporting population and grouping layer. Completion counts are calculated correctly, but inactive teams are still included in the output structure. Aligning the active-team filter and regional grouping supports repeatable readiness reporting.

Question: 4

A regional automotive parts supplier is configuring SAP SuccessFactors Learning in a public cloud environment for warehouse quality training. The learning team created a required program with separate activities for receiving inspection, defect escalation, and final packaging checks. During pilot testing, learners can complete the first two activities, but the final packaging check appears as optional even though the program owner intended it to be mandatory.

The warehouse quality manager needs the program validated before the next supplier audit preparation cycle begins. The administrator can remind learners to complete the final activity manually, but the process owner wants the configured program structure to enforce the required activity path consistently across all warehouse teams.

Which action best supports the intended mandatory program behavior before rollout?

Response:

- A. Send a reminder to pilot learners explaining that the final packaging check must be completed even though it appears optional.
- B. Validate the program structure, required activity settings, and completion status behavior so the final packaging check is enforced through the configured learning path.
- C. Create a separate program only for the final packaging check and assign it after learners complete the first two activities.
- D. Remove the final packaging check from the program until the supplier audit preparation cycle is complete.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

This option addresses the issue at the program configuration and completion-status layer. Earlier activities are working, but the final activity is not being treated as mandatory within the learning path. Validating required activity settings and status behavior ensures the program enforces the intended completion requirement.

Question: 5

A transportation company uses SAP SuccessFactors Learning for driver recertification classes. In a pilot class, instructors recorded attendance for all participants, but several drivers still do not show completion in their learning history. The class roster shows attendance entries, and the drivers are scheduled for route assignments next week.

The fleet training manager needs completion evidence before assigning routes, but the learning administrator must avoid manually inserting history unless the configured class completion process is

confirmed to be wrong. The team must determine whether attendance recording, class completion handling, or learning history update behavior is causing the mismatch.

Which action best resolves the mismatch while preserving reliable history records?

Response:

- A. Manually add completion history for the affected drivers so route assignments can proceed on time.
- B. Ask instructors to resend attendance lists by email and store them as evidence until the next recertification cycle.
- C. Cancel the pilot class and schedule a replacement class so all drivers can complete the training again.
- D. Validate the class completion process, attendance-to-completion handling, and learning history update behavior before confirming the drivers' completion status.

Answer: D

Explanation:

Feedback:

This option addresses the issue at the class execution and learning history update layer. Attendance exists, but completion is not reflected for some drivers, so the configured conversion from class participation to learner history must be validated. Confirming this behavior preserves reliable completion evidence.

Question: 6

A business process outsourcing provider is implementing SAP SuccessFactors Learning for multiple client service teams. Team learning coordinators should assign required training to their own team members and view assignment status, but they must not edit training items owned by the central learning design group. During testing, one coordinator can modify item details after opening an assigned course record. The service delivery manager needs coordinator access ready before client-specific training begins next week. The security lead wants to preserve local assignment support while preventing unauthorized changes to centrally governed learning objects.

What is the best action to correct the access issue while preserving the coordinator operating model?

Response:

- A. Remove all course-record access from coordinators and require the central learning design group to manage every assignment request.
- B. Allow coordinators to retain item-edit access if they document every change in a learning administration log.
- C. Move all centrally governed training items into separate copies for each service team so coordinators can manage their own versions.
- D. Adjust coordinator role permissions and record scope so they can manage assignments and status without modifying centrally owned learning item details.

Answer: D

Explanation:

Feedback:

This option addresses the issue at the permission and record-scope layer. Coordinators need assignment and status capabilities, but item maintenance belongs to the central design group. Aligning role permissions with the intended operating model preserves local support while protecting governed learning objects.

Question: 7

A medical device distributor is configuring SAP SuccessFactors Learning in a public cloud environment for product-handling training. Employees who handle regulated devices must request enrollment, receive manager approval, complete the assigned item, and then receive a completion notification confirming eligibility to perform the task.

During pilot testing, approved learners can complete the training item, but completion notifications are sent only to learners and not to their managers. The warehouse director needs the notification flow confirmed before next week's handling authorization review. The learning administrator can forward completion lists manually, but the compliance lead wants the configured process to support repeatable supervisor visibility without creating a manual notification step.

Which action best resolves the notification gap while preserving the governed training process?

Response:

- A. Send managers a weekly spreadsheet of completed learners until the handling authorization review is complete.
- B. Remove the approval step so managers no longer need completion notifications for approved learners.
- C. Validate the completion notification configuration, recipient logic, and linkage to the completed training item before confirming supervisor visibility.
- D. Ask learners to forward their completion notification to their managers after finishing the training item.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Feedback:

This option addresses the issue at the notification configuration and recipient-determination layer. Learners are receiving notifications, so the completion event is being recognized, but the manager recipient logic must be validated against the completed training item. Confirming this linkage supports repeatable supervisor visibility without manual forwarding.

Question: 8

A professional services organization is preparing SAP SuccessFactors Learning in a public cloud environment for a consultant enablement rollout. The learning administrator created a new program and assigned it to a pilot audience, but pilot users report that the program appears in their learning plan with an inactive status and cannot be started.

The rollout lead must confirm the program within four business days because onboarding sessions begin next week. The implementation team can recreate the program quickly, but the learning operations

manager wants a repeatable validation approach that confirms the configured lifecycle state and learner execution path before the program is assigned to all consultants.

Which action best resolves the issue while supporting a reliable rollout decision?

Response:

- A. Recreate the program with the same activities and assign the new version to the full consultant audience after pilot users confirm visibility.
- B. Validate the program status, assigned learning activities, and learner launch behavior so the configured program can move through the intended execution path.
- C. Ask pilot users to complete the activities outside the program and manually update the program status after onboarding begins.
- D. Delay the consultant rollout until all future enablement programs can be redesigned under a single global program structure.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

This option addresses the issue at the configuration lifecycle and learner execution layer. An inactive program that is visible but cannot be started indicates that assignment alone is not sufficient.

Confirming program status, activity readiness, and learner launch behavior validates that the configured program can be executed reliably before expansion.

Question: 9

A regional renewable-maintenance firm is implementing SAP SuccessFactors Learning for wind-site training administration. Site coordinators should enroll technicians in tower-safety classes and view roster status only for their assigned wind site. During testing, one coordinator can enroll the correct technicians but can also approve registration requests for another site's class.

The field operations manager needs coordinator access finalized before the next maintenance rotation begins. The security owner wants local registration support to remain available while preventing cross-site approvals that could affect unrelated crew readiness.

What is the best action to correct the access issue while preserving site-level administration?

Response:

- A. Remove registration approval access from site coordinators and require central administrators to process every tower-safety request.
- B. Allow cross-site approval during the first maintenance rotation and review changed registrations after crews are scheduled.
- C. Provide offline approval lists to coordinators and ask them to send registration decisions by email outside SAP SuccessFactors Learning.
- D. Align coordinator role permissions and security-domain scope so enrollment and approval actions are limited to the assigned wind site.

Answer: D

Explanation:

Feedback:

This option addresses the issue at the permission and record-scope layer. Coordinators require enrollment and approval capability for their assigned site, but those actions must be constrained to that boundary. Aligning role permissions and security-domain scope preserves local administration while protecting unrelated crew readiness records.

Question: 10

A regional cosmetics manufacturer is configuring SAP SuccessFactors Learning for product-formulation training. The curriculum includes ingredient-safety review, batch-documentation practice, and final supervisor verification. During pilot testing, learners complete ingredient safety, but batch-documentation practice appears available before the required safety review completion is reflected in the curriculum status.

The quality training manager needs the curriculum validated before assigning it to the next formulation team. The administrator can tell learners to follow the intended order manually, but the process owner wants the configured curriculum to control activity availability without verbal instructions.

Which action best supports the intended curriculum progression before rollout?

Response:

- A. Tell pilot learners to launch batch-documentation practice only after a supervisor confirms ingredient-safety completion.
- B. Remove the safety-review dependency so batch-documentation practice can remain available without a configuration conflict.
- C. Create a separate curriculum for batch documentation and assign it manually after learners finish ingredient safety.
- D. Validate the curriculum structure, safety-review completion recognition, and activity-availability condition so batch practice opens only at the intended point.

Answer: D

Explanation:

Feedback:

This option addresses the issue at the curriculum structure and activity-availability layer. Batch-documentation practice appearing too early indicates that completion recognition or availability conditions are not aligned with the intended path. Validating this relationship supports consistent progression control for future formulation teams.

Topic: 2

Unified Scenario Exam

Question: 11

CHALLENGE 1 — Station Transfer Assignment and Curriculum Targeting

A ramp agent transferred from Station East to Station West before the cutover rehearsal. After the latest data load, the learner still receives the older East curriculum, while new hires in Station West receive the expected ramp safety curriculum.

Which validation step best addresses the likely dependency?

Response:

- A. Confirm whether current station and role attributes are being used by the curriculum assignment logic.
- B. Recreate the ramp safety item so the transferred learner receives a new item version.
- C. Manually assign the Station West curriculum to the transferred learner and continue rehearsal testing.
- D. Remove all station conditions from the ramp safety curriculum so every learner receives the same assignment.

Answer: A

Explanation:

Feedback:

The transferred learner and new hires should follow the same current Station West context. Validating current station and role attributes in assignment logic addresses the second-order cause behind the inconsistent curriculum targeting.

Question: 12

CHALLENGE 1 — Station Transfer Assignment and Curriculum Targeting

During rehearsal, new ramp agents receive the correct curriculum, but transferred employees require manual correction after each data load. The team wants to approve cutover readiness by the end of the week.

What is the best next action?

Response:

- A. Approve cutover because new hires are receiving the correct learning path.
- B. Validate and correct the transfer attribute-to-curriculum assignment behavior before cutover approval.
- C. Ask station supervisors to track transferred employees outside SAP SuccessFactors Learning.
- D. Assign all transferred employees to both old and new station curricula.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

Repeated manual correction after each data load shows that the configured dependency is not stable. Correcting transfer attribute-to-curriculum behavior supports cutover readiness without accepting a recurring manual workaround.

Question: 13

CHALLENGE 2 — Instructor-Led Class Registration and Shift Coverage

Morning classes for aircraft turnaround training fill quickly, while evening-shift learners are placed on a waitlist for the same mandatory training. Supervisors ask the coordinator to add evening-shift learners directly to full classes.

Which response best validates the intended configuration?

Response:

- A. Add evening-shift learners manually so the readiness report can show full participation.
- B. Validate class offerings, capacity, registration timing, and waitlist behavior before broad registration release.
- C. Cancel the instructor-led class and replace it with a self-paced item for every station.
- D. Ask supervisors to maintain separate attendance registers for evening-shift learners.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

The issue involves class capacity, scheduling, and waitlist behavior under operational constraints. Validating those settings preserves system-controlled registration and avoids masking configuration behavior.

Question: 14

CHALLENGE 2 — Instructor-Led Class Registration and Shift Coverage

A learner is assigned the correct ramp safety curriculum but cannot register for the related instructor-led class. Other learners in the same station can register, and the class still has seats available.

What should be checked first?

Response:

- A. Whether the learner's eligibility or registration rule allows access to that class offering.
- B. Whether the learner has a certificate from a historical completion.
- C. Whether the class title includes the station name.
- D. Whether the readiness report is grouped by station.

Answer: A

Explanation:

Feedback:

The learner has the curriculum and the class has capacity, so eligibility or registration rules are the next dependency. This directly explains why one learner cannot register while comparable learners can.

Thank You for Trying Our Product

For More Information – **Visit link below:**

<https://www.examsboost.com/>

15 USD Discount Coupon Code:

G74JA8UF

FEATURES

- ✓ **90 Days Free Updates**
- ✓ **Money Back Pass Guarantee**
- ✓ **Instant Download or Email Attachment**
- ✓ **24/7 Live Chat Support**
- ✓ **PDF file could be used at any Platform**
- ✓ **50,000 Happy Customer**



Visit us at: <https://www.examsboost.com/test/c-thr88>