Boost up Your Certification Score

SAP
C_THR86
SAP Certified - SAP SuccessFactors Compensation

For More Information – Visit link below:

https://www.examsboost.com/

Product Version

✓ Up to Date products, reliable and verified.
✓ Questions and Answers in PDF Format.

Visit us at: https://www.examsboost.com/test/c-thr86

Latest Version: 4.0
Subjects

1. Micro Skill Drill Exam
2. Unified Scenario Exam - US01
3. Unified Scenario Exam - US02
4. Unified Scenario Exam - US03
5. Unified Scenario Exam - US04
6. Unified Scenario Exam - US05
7. Unified Scenario Exam - US06
8. Unified Scenario Exam - US07
9. Unified Scenario Exam - US08
10. Unified Scenario Exam - US09
11. Unified Scenario Exam - US10

Topic: 1
Micro Skill Drill Exam

Question: 1

A regional software support company is preparing SAP SuccessFactors Compensation for administrator handover. The customer wants one HR operations user to maintain compensation statement text and another user to manage planner access requests. During validation, both users can perform their intended tasks, but the statement-text user can also update access-related configuration values used to route planner support questions.
The project manager suggests keeping the access because both users are part of HR operations and go-live is near. The compensation owner wants task coverage without creating overlapping maintenance authority. The measurable constraint is that handover must validate separation of administrative duties while preserving the ability to support routine compensation operations.
Which recommendation best fits the handover requirement?
Response:

A. Keep both users' access unchanged because they belong to the same HR operations function.
B. Remove both users' maintenance access and require the implementation partner to handle routine post-go-live changes.
C. Allow overlapping maintenance access but require users to document any changes made during support.
D. Adjust role-based permissions so each administrator can perform assigned maintenance without access to the other task area.

Answer: D

Explanation:

Feedback:
This recommendation corrects the access design while preserving operational coverage. Each administrator can perform the assigned maintenance activity without unnecessary authority over the other task area. Validating the adjusted permissions confirms that routine support capability and governance boundaries are both satisfied.

Question: 2

A regional digital media company has completed SAP SuccessFactors Compensation planning for annual salary and bonus awards. The approved worksheet includes final award amounts, manager notes, and separate communication text for salary and bonus decisions. The rewards team generates employee-facing statements and confirms that the financial amounts are correct, but the statement uses the same generic communication text for both award types.
The HR communication lead wants to release statements because the payment values are accurate and the communication window is narrow. The rewards analyst says employees must receive the approved award-specific messaging. The measurable constraint is that statements must preserve approved values and communication text without reopening planner approvals.
Which recommendation best fits the statement release decision?
Response:

A. Release the statements because salary and bonus amounts match the approved worksheet.
B. Reopen planner approvals so managers can reconfirm award amounts and communication text.
C. Correct the statement output so salary and bonus messaging remain separate and validate the sample against approved results.
D. Remove communication text from the statements and provide only the approved financial amounts.

Answer: C

Explanation:
Feedback:
This recommendation acts at the statement-output layer while preserving completed approvals. Correcting the output so salary and bonus messaging remain separate validates that approved values and communication content are both represented. It satisfies the release requirement without reopening planner decisions.

Question: 3

A museum consortium is preparing SAP SuccessFactors Compensation for its annual curator and operations salary review. The worksheet must use Employee Central job classification, cost center, current salary, and supervisor relationship to determine planning responsibility and reference values. During validation, employees appear with the correct salaries, but several curators who changed cost centers during a recent reorganization still appear under their prior cost center grouping.
The compensation lead wants to proceed because salary values are current and the worksheet opens successfully for planners. The HRIS owner says cost center changes must be verified in Employee Central and reflected in a regenerated worksheet. The measurable constraint is that planning responsibility and reporting groups must reflect the current organization before recommendations are entered.

What should the consultant recommend?
Response:

A. Proceed with planner access because current salary values are correct and worksheet access is available.
B. Ask planners to manually note the affected curators and discuss them under the current cost center during review.
C. Validate the Employee Central cost center updates and regenerate the worksheet so current grouping is reflected.
D. Remove cost center grouping from the worksheet and validate only salary values during the first cycle.

Answer: C

Explanation:
Feedback:
This recommendation addresses the upstream data dependency that controls worksheet grouping. Validating Employee Central cost center updates and regenerating the worksheet confirms that current organizational attributes are reflected before recommendations are entered. It satisfies the requirement for accurate planning responsibility and reporting groups.

Question: 4

A regional cold-chain logistics company is preparing to close its SAP SuccessFactors Compensation implementation after a successful pilot. Worksheet testing, report review, and statement sample validation are complete. However, the customer has not confirmed who will maintain annual compensation calendar assumptions, who will approve future guideline changes, or how validation evidence should be retained before each planning cycle.
The sponsor wants to close the project because no open configuration defects remain. The consultant must advise the project team. The measurable constraint is that the customer must be able to operate future compensation cycles without informal dependency on the implementation partner, while avoiding another full-cycle test that would delay closure.
Which recommendation best fits the project closure requirement?
Response:

A. Close the project because pilot validation proves the compensation process is configured correctly.
B. Give all compensation administrators full template access so future cycle changes can be handled quickly.
C. Complete a focused handover covering calendar ownership, guideline-change approval, and validation evidence retention before closure.
D. Repeat the full pilot cycle so the customer can practice every future compensation administration activity.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Feedback:
This recommendation addresses the readiness layer required for sustainable operation. Confirming calendar ownership, guideline-change approval, and validation evidence retention gives the customer a clear post-implementation governance path. It supports project closure without repeating validated functional testing.

Question: 5

A regional environmental consulting firm has completed compensation planning in SAP SuccessFactors Compensation. The approved worksheet includes final salary adjustments, bonus awards, and the effective month for each employee group. The rewards team generates employee-facing statements and confirms that amounts are correct, but the statement groups all changes under a single effective month instead of the approved group-specific timing.
The HR communication lead wants to release statements because financial values are accurate and employees expect communication that week. The rewards analyst says timing is part of the compensation message and must match approved planning results. The measurable constraint is that statements must reflect approved values and group-specific effective timing without reopening planner approvals.
Which recommendation best fits the release decision?
Response:

A. Release the statements because the financial values are correct and explain timing differences separately if employees ask.
B. Reopen planner approvals so managers can reconfirm all amounts and effective timing before statements are regenerated.
C. Remove effective timing from the statements and communicate only salary and bonus amounts to avoid confusion.
D. Correct the statement output to show group-specific effective timing and validate the sample against approved planning results.

Answer: D

Explanation:
Feedback:
This recommendation acts at the statement-output layer while preserving completed approvals. Correcting group-specific effective timing and validating the sample against approved planning results confirms that both compensation values and timing are communicated accurately. It satisfies the release constraint without reopening planner decisions.

Topic: 1
Unified Scenario Exam - US01

Question: 6

CHALLENGE 1 — Planner Ownership Across Employee Central Alignment

Alpine Market Group sees planner ownership in the compensation preview report that differs from the current manager relationship shown in Employee Central for several employees. Finance wants to proceed because budget meetings are scheduled next week.
Which recommendation best supports the pilot launch decision?
Response:

A. Proceed with worksheet launch because the preview report already shows each employee assigned to a planner.
B. Reconcile Employee Central manager data with compensation planner assignment before launch, then use the preview report to confirm the corrected routing.
C. Change the compensation worksheet template so all employees route to regional HR for this cycle.
D. Ask managers to manually forward worksheet entries to the correct approver after launch if ownership appears incorrect.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
Planner ownership depends on the relationship between source employee data and compensation routing behavior. Reconciling Employee Central alignment first and then validating through preview reporting protects the launch from wrong-manager worksheet routing.

Question: 7

CHALLENGE 1 — Planner Ownership Across Employee Central Alignment
The implementation consultant finds that the affected employees recently transferred between store regions. Their current Employee Central records show the new manager, but the compensation preview still reflects the prior planner relationship.
What is the most likely second-order dependency to investigate?
Response:

A. Whether compensation statements have been enabled for employees with approved recommendations.
B. Whether the compensation data used for form launch reflects the intended Employee Central manager and job attributes.
C. Whether finance increased the total budget pool for the affected regions before preview.
D. Whether managers have completed training on entering merit and bonus recommendations.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
The symptom points to timing and data alignment between Employee Central and the compensation launch population. The second-order dependency is whether the compensation data snapshot or launch data reflects the intended manager and job attributes.

Question: 8

CHALLENGE 2 — Worksheet Visibility Within Role-Based Access Boundaries
Some regional managers can edit merit and lump-sum columns, while managers in comparable roles can only view one of those sections. The HRIS group asks whether access should be expanded globally to remove the inconsistency.
What should the consultant recommend first?
Response:

A. Compare role-based permissions, template field settings, and employee population assignment before changing access globally.
B. Grant all regional managers the same administrative permission set used by the HRIS group.
C. Remove field restrictions from the worksheet template so visibility is controlled only by manager discretion.
D. Launch the pilot and ask managers to report any missing columns during recommendation entry.

Answer: A

Explanation:
Feedback:
The visibility difference may come from access permissions, field configuration, or the population presented to each planner. Comparing these dependencies first preserves governance and targets the correction.

Question: 9

CHALLENGE 2 — Worksheet Visibility Within Role-Based Access Boundaries
The project sponsor asks for the fastest way to make all worksheet columns visible so managers can complete recommendations before budget meetings. HR operations objects because administrative access is restricted.
Which option best balances performance and governance?
Response:

A. Expand provisioning access temporarily to all regional managers, then remove it after the compensation cycle.
B. Duplicate the plan template and let each region adjust field visibility independently.
C. Delay all validation until after managers submit recommendations so the budget meeting date is preserved.
D. Correct the specific permission or template-field dependency after validation, then confirm equivalent visibility for comparable planner groups.

Answer: D

Explanation:
Feedback:

Visit us at: https://www.examsboost.com/test/c-thr86

This option addresses speed by targeting the validated dependency instead of reopening the entire design. It also preserves access governance and confirms consistent behavior for equivalent managers.

Question: 10

CHALLENGE 3 — Guideline Behavior After Data Snapshot Changes
Guideline results do not match rewards expectations for employees who changed jobs after the data snapshot. The rewards committee has already approved the guideline logic.
What is the best next step before changing the guideline table?
Response:

A. Validate whether the employee attributes used for guideline calculation reflect the snapshot timing and eligibility basis.
B. Replace the approved guideline table with a simplified rule that applies the same range to all transferred employees.
C. Ask finance to manually override recommendations for transferred employees after worksheets are submitted.
D. Remove transferred employees from the compensation cycle until the next annual review.

Answer: A

Explanation:
Feedback:
The observed difference may reflect snapshot timing or employee attributes rather than incorrect approved logic. Validating the calculation basis protects rewards governance while allowing evidence-based correction if data is wrong.

Question: 11

CHALLENGE 3 — Guideline Behavior After Data Snapshot Changes
Two advisory options are proposed. One keeps the approved guideline design and reconciles the snapshot-based employee attributes before launch. The other updates the guideline logic immediately to match the current job records for transferred employees.
Which advisory response is strongest?
Response:

A. Keep the approved design intact while validating whether snapshot timing and employee attributes explain the output, then adjust only if the evidence shows a data or configuration dependency.
B. Update the guideline logic immediately because current job records should always override compensation snapshot behavior.
C. Allow each regional manager to decide which guideline value to apply for transferred employees.
D. Ignore the transferred employees in readiness testing because only a small population is affected.

Answer: A

Explanation:

Feedback:
This response respects approved rewards governance while still investigating the practical cause of the output difference. It avoids unnecessary design change and allows correction if validation shows a real data or configuration dependency.

Question: 12

CHALLENGE 4 — Reporting Evidence Before Controlled Pilot Launch
Draft compensation statements are complete for employees with approved recommendations, and preview reports show budget utilization. However, planner ownership and guideline observations are still under review.
How should the consultant advise the customer to use this reporting evidence?
Response:

A. Treat the completed statement previews as proof that the compensation plan is ready for pilot launch.
B. Launch worksheets to meet the budget meeting date, then correct ownership and guideline concerns in the next cycle.
C. Use the reports and statement previews as supporting evidence, but hold launch readiness until the underlying ownership and guideline dependencies are reconciled.
D. Disable statement generation until all regional managers finish entering recommendations.

Answer: C

Explanation:
Feedback:
Reports and statements are important readiness evidence, but they depend on reliable worksheet routing and guideline behavior. Using them as supporting evidence while reconciling unresolved dependencies keeps the launch decision auditable.

Question: 13

CHALLENGE 4 — Reporting Evidence Before Controlled Pilot Launch
The sponsor asks for a launch recommendation that supports next week's budget meetings without violating the controlled-access model. Which recommendation best fits the scenario?
Response:

A. Launch immediately because finance has budget reports and regional managers need time to enter recommendations.
B. Proceed only after targeted validation confirms planner ownership, worksheet visibility, guideline behavior, and reporting outputs are aligned for the pilot population.
C. Give store HR partners temporary template-edit access so they can resolve local worksheet observations before launch.
D. Replace the pilot with a manual spreadsheet process for this cycle and revisit SAP SuccessFactors Compensation next year.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
This recommendation balances business timing with the need for controlled readiness evidence. It ties launch to targeted validation across ownership, visibility, guideline behavior, and reporting outputs.
Topic: 2
Unified Scenario Exam - US02

Question: 14

CHALLENGE 1 — UAT Population Inclusion for Eligible Roles
Harbourline Services finds that several employees with salaried job codes appear in the compensation planning extract but are absent from the expected UAT worksheets.
Which recommendation best supports the next UAT decision?
Response:

A. Release the worksheets because the planning extract already proves that the employees are recognized by the system.
B. Validate Employee Central attributes, eligibility criteria, population filters, and form launch selection before releasing UAT worksheets.
C. Add the missing employees manually to the compensation statements so their communication output is available.
D. Ask terminal managers to enter recommendations for the missing employees outside the worksheet and upload them later.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
Employees appearing in a planning extract does not prove they satisfy the eligibility and population selection logic used for worksheet launch. The correct decision is to validate the source attributes and launch dependencies before UAT forms are released.

Question: 15

CHALLENGE 1 — UAT Population Inclusion for Eligible Roles
The consultant notices that excluded employees are assigned to the correct terminal cluster in reporting extracts, but their compensation worksheet population still omits them.
What is the most likely second-order dependency to investigate?
Response:

A. Whether terminal cluster reporting assignment is different from the eligibility or form-launch selection attributes.
B. Whether draft statements have been generated for all included employees.
C. Whether finance has approved the total budget pool for the terminal cluster.

D. Whether terminal HR coordinators have permission to view planning reports.

Answer: A

Explanation:
Feedback:
The observation suggests that reporting cluster assignment may be valid while eligibility or form launch selection uses a different dependency. The second-order cause is the difference between reporting inclusion and worksheet population inclusion.

Visit us at: https://www.examsboost.com/test/c-thr86

Thank You for Trying Our Product

For More Information – Visit link below:

https://www.examsboost.com/

15 USD Discount Coupon Code:

G74JA8UF

FEATURES

- ✓ 90 Days Free Updates
- ✓ Money Back Pass Guarantee
- ✓ Instant Download or Email Attachment
- ✓ 24/7 Live Chat Support
- ✓ PDF file could be used at any Platform
- ✓ 50,000 Happy Customer