

Boost up Your Certification Score

SAP C_THR97

SAP Certified - SAP SuccessFactors Onboarding



For More Information – Visit link below:

<https://www.examsboost.com/>

Product Version

- ✓ **Up to Date products, reliable and verified.**
- ✓ **Questions and Answers in PDF Format.**

Latest Version: 4.0

1. Micro Skill Drill Exam
2. Unified Scenario Exam

Topic: 1
Micro Skill Drill Exam

Question: 1

A regional academic assessment provider is configuring SAP SuccessFactors Onboarding for exam operations coordinators. You are advising the functional configuration team in a public cloud, web-based SuccessFactors environment. Coordinators assigned to remote exam sessions must provide a secure contact number during onboarding so HR can complete pre-start escalation planning. Coordinators assigned only to onsite sessions should not be blocked by that field. The operations manager proposes making the secure contact number required for all coordinators because missing escalation details could delay remote-session support. The HR data owner wants the field required only when remote-session assignment applies, so completion behavior reflects the actual work condition. The pilot must prove that required data collection is accurate without unnecessary blockers.

Which recommendation best supports accurate conditional data collection and pilot validation?

Response:

- A. Make secure contact number required for all coordinators so HR never misses possible escalation information.
- B. Collect secure contact number outside SAP SuccessFactors and update onboarding records only for remote-session coordinators.
- C. Require secure contact number only when remote-session assignment applies and validate completion behavior for both coordinator groups.
- D. Remove secure contact number from onboarding and request it after start date when session assignments are finalized.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Feedback:

This is the best recommendation because it aligns required data collection with the applicable assignment condition. Validation across both groups confirms that condition evaluation, field requirement behavior, completion status, and HR escalation readiness are aligned.

Question: 2

A regional managed services provider is preparing an SAP SuccessFactors Onboarding configuration update for support onboarding analysts. You are advising the consultant team in a public cloud, web-based environment. A configuration comparison shows the target environment contains a local

administrator review text that is not in the source configuration. The source configuration contains the updated activity group required for the next hiring wave.

The delivery lead proposes overwriting the target configuration completely so the updated activity group is guaranteed to move. The HR governance reviewer warns that the local review text may support an approved exception. The sponsor wants the update completed quickly, but only with evidence that required changes are moved without unintentionally removing target-specific approved behavior.

Which recommendation best supports controlled configuration update handling?

Response:

- A. Overwrite the target configuration completely so the updated activity group is moved without additional analysis.
- B. Leave the target environment unchanged and ask coordinators to add the new activity group manually for the next hiring wave.
- C. Review the detected target-specific difference, preserve or replace it based on approved scope, move the required update, and validate behavior.
- D. Remove the updated activity group from the release scope so the local target review text remains untouched.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Feedback:

This is the best recommendation because it treats the comparison result as release evidence that must be evaluated before movement. It aligns scope review, approved target behavior, moved activity configuration, validation evidence, and release readiness.

Question: 3

A regional wholesale nursery is configuring SAP SuccessFactors Onboarding for greenhouse assistants. You are advising the implementation team in a public cloud, web-based SuccessFactors environment. The hiring wave has two confirmed start weeks. All assistants need the same onboarding activities, but site-arrival and equipment-readiness activities should become available only when the assistant's start week is close enough for the preparation to be useful.

The greenhouse operations lead proposes releasing all activities immediately so coordinators can close tasks early. The HR process owner wants task availability aligned with start-week timing so completion monitoring remains meaningful. The launch schedule is fixed, and the team needs validation evidence that early and later start-week hires receive activities at the correct time.

Which recommendation best supports the onboarding requirement and validation objective?

Response:

- A. Release all site-arrival and equipment-readiness activities immediately and ask coordinators to complete them when convenient.
- B. Keep site-arrival activities outside SAP SuccessFactors and track timing in a shared nursery preparation file.
- C. Delay all greenhouse assistant onboarding until the later start week so every hire receives activities at the same time.

D. Configure activity availability based on the relevant start-week timing and validate behavior for both hiring groups.

Answer: D

Explanation:

Feedback:

This is the best recommendation because it aligns activity availability with the timing condition that controls useful preparation. Validation across both groups confirms that configuration, timing behavior, task execution, and completion evidence support the intended onboarding process.

Question: 4

A regional software support provider is configuring SAP SuccessFactors Onboarding for internal support analysts moving into customer escalation roles. You are advising the implementation team in a public cloud, web-based SuccessFactors environment. External hires require the full onboarding activity set, but internal movers need only escalation-policy acknowledgement, manager preparation, and role-specific readiness activities.

The operations lead proposes using the full external-hire onboarding process for internal movers so all new escalation analysts follow one path. The HR process owner wants internal movers to receive only activities relevant to their transition so completion reporting remains meaningful. The first internal movement cycle begins in two weeks, and the team needs validation evidence that the task set reflects worker movement type without creating duplicate work.

Which recommendation best supports the onboarding requirement and validation objective?

Response:

- A. Use the full external-hire onboarding process for internal movers and ask coordinators to ignore activities that do not apply.
- B. Keep internal movers outside SAP SuccessFactors Onboarding and track escalation-policy acknowledgement in a shared transition file.
- C. Configure internal-mover onboarding to include only transition-relevant activities and validate the task set against movement type.
- D. Delay internal-mover onboarding until after the movement date so external-hire configuration can remain unchanged.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Feedback:

This is the best recommendation because it aligns onboarding activity generation with the worker movement type and transition requirement. Validation confirms that population logic, task assignment, completion reporting, and process evidence support the intended onboarding behavior.

Question: 5

A regional outdoor recreation company is configuring SAP SuccessFactors Onboarding for returning seasonal instructors. You are advising the implementation team in a public cloud, web-based SuccessFactors environment. First-time instructors need the full onboarding activity set, while returning instructors need only updated policy acknowledgements and any activities not previously completed. The operations lead proposes using the full first-time onboarding process for all instructors so the intake can be managed with one task list. The HR process owner wants returning instructors to receive only the relevant current-cycle activities so completion tracking is meaningful. The first seasonal intake begins in two weeks, and the team needs validation evidence that the configured process reflects instructor status without creating duplicate work.

Which recommendation best supports the onboarding requirement and validation objective?

Response:

- A. Use the full first-time onboarding process for all instructors and ask coordinators to ignore duplicate activities for returning hires.
- B. Configure returning instructor onboarding to include only required current-cycle activities and validate the task set against instructor status.
- C. Keep returning instructors outside SAP SuccessFactors Onboarding and track updated policy acknowledgements in a separate seasonal checklist.
- D. Delay returning instructor onboarding until after start date so the first-time instructor process can be finalized first.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

This is the best recommendation because it aligns onboarding activity generation with the instructor's status and current-cycle requirement. Validation confirms that population logic, task assignment, completion tracking, and process evidence support the intended onboarding behavior.

Question: 6

A regional veterinary clinic network is preparing SAP SuccessFactors Onboarding for clinic reception hires. You are advising the consultant team in a public cloud, web-based SuccessFactors environment. The onboarding setup must present consistent welcome instructions and administrator review steps across clinics, but clinics that support emergency services need one additional local follow-up message. The clinic operations lead proposes allowing every clinic to maintain its own onboarding wording and review setup because clinic procedures vary. The HR systems owner wants one common platform configuration pattern with only the emergency-service follow-up message controlled as a variation. The first rollout covers eight clinics, and the support team must diagnose configuration issues quickly during the first hiring cycle.

Which recommendation best supports local clinic needs while keeping the onboarding platform setup supportable?

Response:

- A. Allow each clinic to maintain its own onboarding wording and review setup, then compare differences after the rollout.

- B. Maintain a common platform configuration pattern and allow only the emergency-service follow-up message as a controlled variation.
- C. Remove all clinic-specific follow-up messages so the onboarding setup remains identical across the full clinic network.
- D. Ask emergency-service clinics to send follow-up messages outside SAP SuccessFactors while using the common onboarding setup.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

This is the best recommendation because it preserves a consistent platform foundation while allowing the required local variation in a controlled way. It aligns common configuration, emergency-service follow-up behavior, rollout execution, and support troubleshooting needs.

Question: 7

A regional warranty administration company is validating SAP SuccessFactors Onboarding for claim setup assistants. You are advising the project team during acceptance testing. Hire records arrive in onboarding, but assistants whose cost center changes before start date still receive onboarding preparation owned by the previous cost center's coordinator.

The onboarding administrator proposes transferring affected preparation items manually so the test can continue. The HRIS analyst explains that the corrected cost center should be available before onboarding ownership is evaluated. The sponsor will accept the test only if it proves that cost center changes drive the correct coordinator ownership without recurring manual transfer.

Which recommendation best addresses the ownership issue while preserving acceptance evidence?

Response:

- A. Transfer affected preparation items manually and document the corrected coordinator ownership for the acceptance report.
- B. Confirm whether the corrected cost center is available before onboarding ownership is evaluated, then retest coordinator assignment.
- C. Accept the test because preparation item generation alone does not validate ownership accuracy. The required result depends on the corrected cost center being available before onboarding ownership is evaluated.
- D. Route all claim setup assistant preparation items to one coordinator group so cost center changes no longer affect ownership.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

This is the best recommendation because it checks the upstream value that controls coordinator ownership. Retesting confirms that HRIS data availability, ownership evaluation, coordinator assignment, and acceptance evidence support the intended cost-center-based process.

Question: 8

A regional building compliance company is validating SAP SuccessFactors Onboarding for permit review assistants. You are advising the project team during acceptance testing in a public cloud, web-based SuccessFactors environment. Hire records arrive in onboarding, but assistants whose assigned legal entity changes before start date still receive onboarding tasks owned by the original entity's coordinator group.

The onboarding administrator proposes moving the affected tasks manually so the test cycle can continue. The HRIS analyst explains that the corrected legal entity value should be available before coordinator ownership is evaluated. The sponsor will accept the test only if it proves that legal entity changes update onboarding ownership without recurring manual movement.

Which recommendation best addresses the ownership issue while preserving acceptance evidence?
Response:

- A. Confirm whether the corrected legal entity value is available before coordinator ownership is evaluated, then retest ownership assignment.
- B. Move affected tasks manually and document the corrected coordinator group for the acceptance report.
- C. Accept the test because onboarding tasks are generated and can still be completed before the assistant starts.
- D. Route all permit review assistant tasks to one coordinator group so ownership no longer depends on legal entity changes.

Answer: A

Explanation:

Feedback:

This is the best recommendation because it checks the upstream value that controls onboarding task ownership. Retesting confirms that HRIS data availability, ownership evaluation, coordinator group assignment, and acceptance evidence support the intended legal-entity-based process.

Question: 9

A regional renewable installation company is configuring SAP SuccessFactors Onboarding for field installation trainees. You are advising the functional configuration team. New hires must select an installation stream during onboarding, and the selected value must remain consistent so coordinators can assign the correct preparation group and review readiness.

The field training manager wants an open-entry field because installation streams may change as project types evolve. The HR systems owner prefers controlled platform-maintained values so coordinators do not interpret spelling differences or retired stream names. The pilot begins in ten days, and future stream updates must not disrupt previously captured onboarding records.

Which configuration direction best supports consistent stream capture and maintainable updates?
Response:

- A. Use an open-entry field and ask coordinators to normalize installation stream names during readiness review.
- B. Capture installation stream choices outside SAP SuccessFactors and update preparation groups manually before each start date.
- C. Use controlled platform-maintained values for installation streams and manage stream changes through governed updates.
- D. Create a separate onboarding process for every installation stream so the stream can be inferred from process selection.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Feedback:

This is the best direction because it controls selectable values at the point of onboarding data capture and supports governed maintenance as streams change. It aligns user selection, data consistency, coordinator review, and future update control.

Question: 10

A regional field inspection company is validating SAP SuccessFactors Onboarding for compliance inspectors. You are advising the project team during acceptance testing. Hire records arrive in onboarding, and preparation activities are generated. However, when the employment start date is corrected upstream before the first day, the onboarding readiness deadlines still reflect the earlier date for one test group.

The onboarding coordinator proposes manually adjusting the affected deadlines so the test can continue. The HRIS analyst explains that corrected start-date data should be available before readiness timing is evaluated. The sponsor will accept the test only if it proves that upstream employment date changes drive the expected onboarding timing without recurring manual correction.

Which recommendation best addresses the timing issue while preserving acceptance evidence?

Response:

- A. Manually adjust the affected readiness deadlines and document the corrected dates for the acceptance report.
- B. Confirm whether the corrected start date is available before readiness timing is evaluated, then retest deadline behavior for the test group.
- C. Accept the test because onboarding activities were generated and the deadlines can still be monitored by coordinators.
- D. Add a generic reminder for coordinators to review readiness deadlines whenever start dates change.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

This is the best recommendation because it checks the upstream value that controls readiness deadline behavior. Retesting confirms that HRIS date availability, timing evaluation, activity deadline calculation, and acceptance evidence support the intended process.

Topic: 2
Unified Scenario Exam

Question: 11

CHALLENGE 1 — Branch Assignment Changes During Onboarding Cutover

During cutover rehearsal, some workers who changed branches after initiation still receive orientation activities linked to the original branch. Which recommendation best supports launch readiness?

Response:

- A. Ask branch managers to manually confirm the correct orientation activities for changed workers during cutover.
- B. Validate whether current branch assignment is used by the onboarding program or process variant rules that drive location-specific activities.
- C. Reinitiate all workers with branch changes so the original initiation data always matches the final branch assignment.
- D. Delay branch-specific orientation activities until after onboarding completion so branch changes no longer affect the configured flow.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

The observation points to a dependency between branch assignment, process variant or program rules, and location-specific activity presentation. Validating that dependency supports launch readiness because it confirms whether the configured process reflects the worker's final care location.

Question: 12

CHALLENGE 1 — Branch Assignment Changes During Onboarding Cutover

The operations lead wants to preserve the cutover schedule by allowing managers to confirm location-specific orientation outside SAP SuccessFactors Onboarding when activities do not match the final branch. What is the best response?

Response:

- A. Accept the workaround for all launch cases because branch managers are closest to the local orientation process.
- B. Use the workaround only as temporary cutover tracking while validating the configured activity assignment against final branch data.
- C. Remove location-specific orientation from the onboarding program and make it a branch operations responsibility after hire.
- D. Give implementation-support users access to edit activity assignments directly whenever a branch change occurs.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

This response balances schedule pressure with the need for system-based cutover evidence. Temporary tracking can support rehearsal continuity, but the configured activity assignment still needs validation against final branch data.

Question: 13

CHALLENGE 4 — Cutover Readiness View for Branch Stakeholders

The sponsor needs branch-level readiness evidence, while branch managers, HR service agents, and implementation-support users have different visibility needs. Which reporting approach best supports the launch review?

Response:

- A. Provide branch-level readiness metrics with role-aligned drill-down visibility for completion, credential, document, and manager task details.
- B. Give all launch stakeholders access to one detailed worker-level report so the sponsor can verify every readiness item directly.
- C. Create a separate offline tracker for implementation-support users and use it as the main launch approval record.
- D. Restrict readiness reporting to the sponsor only so branch managers do not see worker-level onboarding information.

Answer: A

Explanation:

Feedback:

Branch-level metrics support the sponsor's launch decision, while role-aligned drill-down keeps detailed worker information within each responsibility. This balances operational transparency with governed visibility.

Question: 14

CHALLENGE 4 — Cutover Readiness View for Branch Stakeholders

Implementation-support users want enough visibility to validate rehearsal outcomes, but HR wants their access to remain separate from steady-state operations. Which recommendation best fits the scenario?

Response:

- A. Grant implementation-support users permanent access to all onboarding records so they can support reporting after launch.
- B. Provide controlled rehearsal visibility for validation activities and confirm that steady-state reporting remains aligned to branch and HR roles.
- C. Remove implementation-support users from readiness reporting entirely because they are not part of daily onboarding operations.
- D. Let implementation-support users own the launch readiness view until all branch managers complete onboarding training.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

This recommendation recognizes the temporary validation role of implementation-support users while preserving the steady-state operating model. It supports cutover readiness without making implementation-support access a permanent reporting design.

Thank You for Trying Our Product

For More Information – **Visit link below:**

<https://www.examsboost.com/>

15 USD Discount Coupon Code:

G74JA8UF

FEATURES

- ✓ **90 Days Free Updates**
- ✓ **Money Back Pass Guarantee**
- ✓ **Instant Download or Email Attachment**
- ✓ **24/7 Live Chat Support**
- ✓ **PDF file could be used at any Platform**
- ✓ **50,000 Happy Customer**



Visit us at: <https://www.examsboost.com/test/c-thr97>