SAP
C_S4EWM_2601

SAP Certified - SAP S/4HANA Cloud Private Edition, Extended Warehouse Management

For More Information – Visit link below:

https://www.examsboost.com/

Product Version

✓ Up to Date products, reliable and verified.
✓ Questions and Answers in PDF Format.

Latest Version: 4.0

1. Micro Skill Drill Exam
2. Unified Scenario Exam

Topic: 1
Micro Skill Drill Exam

Question: 1

A home appliances distributor is validating a newly introduced warehouse exception path in SAP S/4HANA Extended Warehouse Management through a web-based quality environment. The relevant customizing was imported successfully, and existing putaway and picking flows remain stable. During testing, users can reach the affected process step, but the expected exception option does not appear for one warehouse activity type, while the same exception setup is visible in a different activity context. The project manager wants the smallest corrective action because the transport window is closed and a reimport would require new approvals. The consultant must identify the missing dependency in the current target environment rather than restart the transport cycle.
Which action should the consultant perform first?
Response:

A. Verify whether the exception configuration is correctly assigned to the specific warehouse activity context where it is missing.
B. Reopen the change window and reimport the full transport so all exception settings are refreshed together.
C. Create a separate warehouse activity type for testing so the exception can be validated outside the existing flow.
D. Reverse the latest customizing import and return the warehouse to the earlier stable behavior before further analysis.

<div style="border:1px solid #000; padding:8px; text-align:center; width:40%; margin-left:auto;">

Answer: A

</div>

Explanation:
Feedback:
The import succeeded and the exception is visible in one activity context, which means the transported content exists in the environment. The selective absence in another context indicates a missing assignment or binding for the specific warehouse activity, not a failed transport. The most targeted next step is to validate context-specific assignment.

Question: 2

A consumer health company is testing outbound execution in SAP S/4HANA Extended Warehouse Management through a web-based mixed deployment landscape. Delivery transfer and wave release work as expected, and warehouse tasks are created for all items. For one set of export deliveries, the

system does not propose the expected route-dependent staging path after picking confirmation, while domestic deliveries in the same shipping cycle proceed correctly.

The monitor shows picked status for the export items and no blocking message. A warehouse coordinator recommends moving the export deliveries manually to staging so the truck schedule is not affected. The consultant is asked to restore the controlled outbound design without adding manual operational steps to each export shipment.

What is the best next action?

Response:

A. Check whether the export delivery context is correctly maintained for the route-dependent follow-on determination after picking confirmation.

B. Increase staging area capacity so the export deliveries can be accepted during the same shipping cycle as domestic orders.

C. Split export deliveries into a separate wave so the staging path is evaluated without domestic shipment interference.

D. Change warehouse order sorting so export items are processed earlier than domestic items after wave release.

Answer: A

Explanation:
Feedback:
Task creation and picking confirmation already work, so the breakdown occurs after those steps.
Because only export deliveries fail to receive the expected follow-on staging path, the most likely issue is missing or incorrect route-dependent outbound determination for that delivery context.

Question: 3

A frozen-food warehouse is validating outbound replenishment support in SAP S/4HANA Extended Warehouse Management using a web-based private-edition setup with mobile execution. Replenishment tasks are created successfully after picking shortages are detected, and supervisors can view them in the monitor. Mobile users in the blast-freezer zone log on successfully and can access their menus, but they do not receive any executable work automatically.

Users in chilled and ambient zones receive replenishment work as expected. There is no device connectivity alert and no visible authorization message. The warehouse manager suggests changing user profiles because only one operational area is affected. The consultant must restore automatic work distribution with the smallest change to an otherwise stable replenishment design.

What is the best next step?

Response:

A. Change the mobile user profiles for the blast-freezer team so replenishment work appears immediately after logon.

B. Verify whether the blast-freezer resources are correctly assigned to the queue and activity area used for replenishment work distribution.

C. Reduce replenishment quantities for the blast-freezer zone so smaller work packages can be assigned to users more easily.

D. Create a separate replenishment release cycle for the blast-freezer zone so its tasks are not grouped with the rest of the warehouse.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
Tasks already exist, mobile logon works, and no connectivity or authorization problem is shown. The issue is limited to one zone, so the most likely failure layer is the binding between replenishment work and the resources meant to receive it. Queue and activity-area relevance are the key configuration points for that assignment.

Question: 4

A book distributor is validating a newly activated warehouse in SAP S/4HANA Extended Warehouse Management using a web-based private-edition environment. The site was created from a reference structure used at another location. Initial stock postings and document processing succeed, but the warehouse monitor shows internal movement activities under an unexpected operational area, and several execution settings appear to behave as if the reference site is still partially active.
The warehouse number for the new site exists and can be selected correctly, yet the operational behavior is inconsistent across multiple process tests. The rollout manager wants a focused correction because product and bin master data have already been loaded for the new site.
What should the consultant verify first?
Response:

A. Whether the new site's execution-relevant structural assignments still point to inherited reference objects instead of the intended warehouse structure.
B. Whether additional storage bins should be created so internal movement activities appear only in the new operational area.
C. Whether replenishment settings should be switched off until the warehouse monitor reflects only the new location.
D. Whether outbound wave templates from the reference site should be copied again to stabilize the new monitor views.

Answer: A

Explanation:
Feedback:
The issue is structural and cross-process. The new warehouse number exists, but behavior still reflects the reference site. That points to incomplete reassignment of foundational execution-relevant structure objects rather than a process-specific setting. The first step is to validate that the new warehouse is consistently bound to its own structural objects.

Question: 5

A beverage warehouse is validating an internal movement design in SAP S/4HANA Extended Warehouse Management using a web-based private-edition environment. For pallet stock that must pass through an intermediate checkpoint, the first warehouse task is created and confirmed successfully. Stock then remains visible in the interim area, but the expected follow-on warehouse task is not generated. Standard products that use a direct movement path complete normally. The monitor shows no confirmation error for the first step, and the destination storage type for the second step is available. Operations wants the designed two-step flow restored without replacing it with a simplified direct movement rule. The consultant must correct the missing dependency at the right layer.
What should the consultant check first?
Response:

A. Whether the warehouse order creation rule should be changed so each internal movement step is grouped into a separate order.
B. Whether the interim area should be converted into a standard storage type so products can proceed without follow-on control.
C. Whether the storage-control configuration is fully maintained so confirmation of the first step can trigger the second step.
D. Whether the bin sorting sequence for the final storage type should be simplified to allow faster destination determination.

Answer: C

Explanation:
Feedback:
The first step is created and confirmed, so basic task creation and execution are working. The failure appears at the transition to the next step. That points directly to incomplete or incorrect storage-control maintenance for follow-on determination. In a controlled multi-step flow, second-step generation depends on the storage-control settings tied to the confirmed first step.

Question: 6

A food distributor has completed basic and advanced customizing in SAP S/4HANA Extended Warehouse Management for a web-based private-edition quality environment. After a recent transport, users can still process existing warehouse activities, but new configuration-dependent behavior is not visible in testing. The transport log shows successful import, and no activation error is displayed to business users. A junior consultant proposes repeating the full transport path from the source system. Another suggests checking whether the relevant customizing is active and bound to the warehouse execution context used in the test case.
The team must avoid unnecessary retransport because the change window is limited and other warehouse flows are already stable. Which is the best next step?
Response:

A. Reimport the entire customizing request into quality so all EWM-dependent objects are refreshed together.
B. Check whether the transported settings are active and correctly assigned to the warehouse context used during execution testing.

C. Create a separate test warehouse so the new customizing can be validated without affecting the current quality scenario.
D. Reverse the last transport and restore the earlier stable configuration before analyzing the missing behavior.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
The transport imported successfully, and existing activities still work. That means the environment is not broadly broken. The more likely issue is that the new behavior is not active in the exact warehouse context used in the test, or that the binding of customizing to the execution context is incomplete. This is the least disruptive and most targeted validation step.

Question: 7

A consumer electronics warehouse uses SAP S/4HANA Extended Warehouse Management in a web-based mixed landscape. After a change to wave planning, tasks for replenishment are created correctly, and supervisors can see them in the warehouse monitor. RF users in one work center log on successfully but do not receive any executable replenishment work. Users in other work centers receive work normally. There is no authorization error, and the affected users can still access the handheld menus. A local lead proposes simplifying the RF menu to remove nonessential steps. The consultant must restore automatic work visibility for the affected work center with minimal change to the already-stable replenishment design.
What is the best next action?
Response:

A. Simplify the RF menu so users in the affected work center can reach replenishment transactions more quickly after logon.
B. Check whether the affected work center's resources are correctly assigned to the queue and activity area relevant for replenishment tasks.
C. Rebuild the replenishment wave template so the system creates smaller task packages for that work center.
D. Change the warehouse order sort rule so replenishment work for the affected area is displayed earlier than other work.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
The tasks already exist, RF logon works, and no authorization issue is visible. The failure is selective to one work center and affects automatic work visibility. That points to a mismatch between generated replenishment work and the resource assignment logic, especially queue and activity-area relevance.

Question: 8

A janitorial supplies distribution center is running mobile picking in SAP S/4HANA Extended Warehouse Management through a web-based mixed landscape. Wave release completes successfully, warehouse orders are visible in the monitor, and carton-pick teams receive work normally. Users assigned to the locked-cage picking team can log on to handheld devices and open the picking function, but they consistently see that no executable work is available.

Supervisors confirm that locked-cage warehouse orders exist in the same wave, and a temporary reassignment by the shift lead makes those orders executable for another team without changing the orders themselves. The business wants the smallest corrective action because wave timing and order creation are already stable for the rest of the warehouse.

Which step should the consultant take first?

Response:

A. Reduce the number of locked-cage warehouse orders in each wave so the workload becomes easier to distribute automatically.

B. Split locked-cage deliveries into a separate wave so those warehouse orders are generated independently from carton picking.

C. Simplify the handheld menu profile so locked-cage users can reach the correct function faster after logon.

D. Verify whether the locked-cage resources are correctly aligned to the queue and activity area used for those warehouse orders.

Answer: D

Explanation:
Feedback:
The decisive artifact is that the same warehouse orders become executable when they are reassigned to another team. That means the orders are valid and the mobile environment is functioning. The problem is selective work eligibility for the intended team, which points directly to queue and activity-area alignment between the resources and the warehouse orders.

Question: 9

A household appliances return center is validating exception-sensitive inbound putaway in SAP S/4HANA Extended Warehouse Management through a web-based mixed landscape. Inbound deliveries from customer returns are distributed correctly, goods receipt is posted, and the warehouse request appears in the monitor. For returns marked with one inspection category, however, no warehouse task is proposed for the next inbound step, while standard return receipts continue to create putaway tasks normally.

The warehouse supervisor proposes temporarily processing those receipts through the standard return path so the backlog does not increase. The consultant must preserve the differentiated inbound design and correct the repeatable configuration issue without introducing an ongoing workaround.

Which action should the consultant take first?

Response:

A. Expand available bins in the return storage area so the affected receipts have more destination options during putaway.

B. Route the affected inspection category through the standard return flow so task creation can resume immediately.
C. Verify whether the affected inbound document context is correctly linked to the warehouse process behavior required for that inspection-sensitive return flow.
D. Delay goods receipt posting for the affected returns until the warehouse team can validate the setup in a separate cycle.

<div style="border:2px solid black; padding:10px; width:fit-content; margin-left:auto;">

Answer: C

</div>

Explanation:
Feedback:
Goods receipt succeeds and the warehouse request is visible, so the issue is not basic document distribution or access. The failure is selective to one inspection category, which indicates an upstream determination problem in the inbound document context and process behavior assignment. If that binding is incomplete, the system never reaches task proposal for the differentiated return flow.

Question: 10

A building materials warehouse is running outbound picking in SAP S/4HANA Extended Warehouse Management with mobile execution in a web-based private-edition setup. Wave creation completes and warehouse orders are generated for all scheduled deliveries. Operators in the bulk-storage team can log on to their handheld devices and access picking functions, but they receive a message that no eligible work is available. Operators in case-pick areas receive work normally from the same wave. Supervisors can see the bulk-storage warehouse orders in the monitor, and there is no device connectivity issue. The business wants the smallest correction because the wave design is already stable for the rest of the site. The consultant must fix the selective work-eligibility issue rather than redesigning release timing.
What should the consultant check first?
Response:

A. Split the bulk-storage deliveries into a separate wave so their warehouse orders are released independently from case-pick work.
B. Simplify the handheld picking menu so bulk-storage users can reach the correct execution function more quickly after logon.
C. Check whether the bulk-storage resources are correctly matched to the queue and activity area used for those warehouse orders.
D. Reduce the number of bulk-storage orders per wave so the system can assign work to operators in smaller quantities.

<div style="border:2px solid black; padding:10px; width:fit-content; margin-left:auto;">

Answer: C

</div>

Explanation:
Feedback:
Warehouse orders already exist, users can log on, and connectivity is working. The failure is selective to one picking team, while others receive work from the same wave. That indicates a work-eligibility

mismatch between the bulk-storage orders and the resources meant to execute them. Queue and activity-area relevance are the key dependency layer for that assignment.

Topic: 2
Unified Scenario Exam

Question: 11

Unified Scenario: Meridian Foods EWM Rollout Readiness for Regional Warehouses
CHALLENGE 1 — Temperature-Zone Putaway Determination During Inbound Receipt
During inbound testing, palletized chilled goods are received successfully and warehouse tasks are created. The receiving team notices that some pallets follow a generic putaway path, while manually corrected tasks reach the intended temperature-controlled area.
Which action best validates the likely configuration dependency before changing execution behavior?
Response:

A. Increase manual task correction authority for receiving supervisors so each chilled receipt can be redirected during testing.
B. Review the receipt-driven determination sequence for warehouse structure, process type, and putaway destination before confirming further tests.
C. Adjust outbound wave criteria first because later picking delays may be caused by the same warehouse activity area setup.
D. Move the affected products into a temporary storage area and defer putaway validation until after the first warehouse go-live.

> **Answer: B**

Explanation:
Feedback:
The scenario shows that goods receipt and task creation are technically working, but the automatically determined destination does not consistently reflect the intended chilled flow. Reviewing the warehouse structure, process type, and putaway determination sequence validates the second-order dependency behind repeatable task behavior.

Question: 12

Unified Scenario: Meridian Foods EWM Rollout Readiness for Regional Warehouses
CHALLENGE 1 — Temperature-Zone Putaway Determination During Inbound Receipt
A consultant compares two possible responses to the chilled-goods putaway observation. One response adds a local exception so receiving users can override the destination more quickly, while the other traces the configuration sequence that assigns the warehouse task destination.
Which response is more appropriate for first-wave readiness?
Response:

A. Add the local override because it improves execution speed and avoids delaying the test cycle.

B. Trace the configuration sequence because repeatable task determination is required before accepting operational correction as normal behavior.
C. Apply both responses immediately because combining manual speed and configuration review removes the need for later validation.
D. Leave the process unchanged because successful goods receipt proves that inbound execution is already complete.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
The first-wave readiness decision should confirm repeatable determination before normalizing an override. The observed behavior occurs after goods receipt but before reliable destination execution, so the configuration sequence is the stronger validation focus.

Question: 13

Unified Scenario: Meridian Foods EWM Rollout Readiness for Regional Warehouses
CHALLENGE 1 — Temperature-Zone Putaway Determination During Inbound Receipt
Repeated inbound tests show that task creation succeeds, but only some products reach the expected storage area without manual adjustment. The products share the same receiving process but differ in storage condition requirements.
What is the most defensible diagnosis?
Response:

A. The goods receipt posting step is incomplete because warehouse tasks should not be created until all products have identical storage conditions.
B. The mobile execution queue is overloaded because warehouse users are confirming chilled pallets too late in the process.
C. The second-order dependency between product-relevant storage conditions and putaway determination is not being consistently validated.
D. The rollout template is unusable because mixed private-edition and on-premise landscapes cannot support warehouse-specific putaway behavior.

Answer: C

Explanation:
Feedback:
The key pattern is that task creation works, but destination behavior varies by storage requirements. That points to a second-order dependency between product-relevant attributes and putaway determination rather than a simple goods receipt failure.

Question: 14

Unified Scenario: Meridian Foods EWM Rollout Readiness for Regional Warehouses
CHALLENGE 2 — Wave Release Timing Across Resource Queues

During outbound readiness testing, increasing wave release frequency makes tasks visible faster but creates uneven pressure on mobile users assigned to specific warehouse areas. Staging lanes also become difficult to coordinate before loading.

Which option best balances performance and controlled execution?

Response:

A. Increase release frequency because faster task visibility is the primary measure of outbound readiness.

B. Restrict release timing until queue assignment and staging readiness are validated against the delivery-window requirement.

C. Move all mobile users into one shared queue so work can be picked up by anyone during the afternoon shift.

D. Delay wave testing until after go-live because delivery-window pressure can only be measured in production.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
The scenario shows a performance-weighted decision where faster release improves visibility but creates execution congestion. Validating queue assignment and staging readiness before release acceleration preserves delivery-window performance without weakening controlled execution.

Question: 15

Unified Scenario: Meridian Foods EWM Rollout Readiness for Regional Warehouses
CHALLENGE 2 — Wave Release Timing Across Resource Queues
The warehouse manager wants to release waves earlier to improve picking throughput. The rollout lead wants to confirm that staging lanes and mobile resource queues are aligned before changing the release schedule.

What should the consultant recommend?

Response:

A. Release waves earlier for all orders and document any staging congestion as an operational training item.

B. Confirm staging and queue capacity for the selected wave criteria, then adjust release timing only within the validated execution window.

C. Remove staging checks from the test scope because picking throughput is the main outbound performance measure.

D. Assign additional users to the affected queues without changing wave configuration or staging coordination.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:

The recommendation uses a bounded execution approach: validate staging and queue capacity, then tune release timing. This supports performance improvement while keeping the configuration and execution behavior reusable.

Thank You for Trying Our Product

For More Information – **Visit link below:**
https://www.examsboost.com/

15 USD Discount Coupon Code:
G74JA8UF

FEATURES

- ✓ **90 Days Free Updates**
- ✓ **Money Back Pass Guarantee**
- ✓ **Instant Download or Email Attachment**
- ✓ **24/7 Live Chat Support**
- ✓ **PDF file could be used at any Platform**
- ✓ **50,000 Happy Customer**