SAP
C_HRHPC

SAP Certified - Implementation Consultant - SAP SuccessFactors Employee Central Payroll

Exams Boost

Boost Up Your Career

For More Information – Visit link below:

https://www.examsboost.com/

Product Version

✓ Up to Date products, reliable and verified.
✓ Questions and Answers in PDF Format.

Latest Version: 4.0

1. Micro Skill Drill Exam
2. Unified Scenario Exam

Topic: 1
Micro Skill Drill Exam

Question: 1

A regional home healthcare provider is piloting Employee Central integration with Employee Central Payroll for care employees moving between certified service groups within the same payroll population. HR confirms that service group changes are approved in Employee Central before payroll simulation begins. In simulation, affected employees remain included, but payroll still uses the prior service group when determining a recurring care-duty premium. Payroll operations proposes correcting the service group in Employee Central Payroll for the pilot population to complete sign-off. The integration owner requires evidence that approved Employee Central changes supply payroll-relevant values without payroll-side maintenance.
Which recommendation best supports the integration objective?
Response:

A. Correct the certified service group directly in Employee Central Payroll and mark the entries as temporary pilot corrections.
B. Accept the simulation because employees remain in the same payroll population and payroll processing completes successfully.
C. Remove employees with certified service group changes from the pilot and validate only unchanged employees for sign-off.
D. Validate that approved Employee Central certified service group changes transfer to the payroll-relevant value used in simulation.

Answer: D

Explanation:
Feedback:
This addresses the integration dependency between approved Employee Central assignment data and payroll simulation input. Confirming transfer behavior validates source ownership, data availability, premium determination, and pilot readiness.

Question: 2

A regional cold-chain logistics company is rehearsing payroll processing for employees who receive weather-disruption compensation when approved route delays occur. Employee Central contains approved delay records before the payroll run, and Employee Central Payroll completes without errors. The payroll result includes the compensation, but the period-end payroll review summary combines it with regular route pay and does not allow operations to confirm which employees were paid under

disruption handling. Payroll operations wants to proceed because net pay is accurate. The payroll manager requires release evidence that exceptional disruption compensation was reviewed separately. What should the consultant recommend before release approval?
Response:

A. Reclassify weather-disruption compensation in the payroll review output and retest affected employees before release approval.
B. Proceed with release because the payroll run completed without errors and net pay includes the expected compensation.
C. Ask route supervisors to provide a separate spreadsheet listing employees who qualified for weather-disruption compensation.
D. Exclude weather-disruption compensation from period-end review and validate only regular route pay before release.

Answer: A

Explanation:
Feedback:
This addresses the processing-to-review dependency for exceptional compensation. Retesting confirms that approved delay data, payroll calculation, review classification, and release evidence support the operational control.

Question: 3

A regional packaging company is piloting Employee Central integration with Employee Central Payroll for employees moving between production-line responsibility groups within the same payroll population. HR confirms that production-line responsibility changes are approved in Employee Central before payroll simulation begins. In simulation, affected employees remain included, but payroll still uses the prior responsibility group when determining a recurring line premium. Payroll operations proposes correcting the responsibility group in Employee Central Payroll for the pilot group to complete sign-off. The integration owner requires evidence that approved Employee Central changes supply payroll-relevant values without payroll-side maintenance.
Which recommendation best supports the integration objective?
Response:

A. Correct the production-line responsibility group directly in Employee Central Payroll and mark the entries as temporary pilot corrections.
B. Accept the simulation because affected employees remain in the payroll population and payroll processing completes successfully.
C. Remove employees with production-line responsibility changes from the pilot and validate only unchanged employees.
D. Validate that approved Employee Central responsibility changes transfer to the payroll-relevant value used in simulation.

Answer: D

Explanation:
Feedback:
This addresses the integration dependency between approved Employee Central assignment data and payroll simulation input. Confirming transfer behavior validates source ownership, data availability, premium determination, and pilot readiness.

Question: 4

An airline group is validating Employee Central Payroll configuration for a newly introduced employee subgroup before adding it to the next payroll simulation. The subgroup is correctly included in payroll processing, and base pay calculates as expected. However, a recurring premium is not selected for employees in the subgroup even though the premium configuration exists for comparable employee groups. The payroll lead suggests copying the premium setup into a separate subgroup-specific rule to finish testing quickly. The implementation manager requires a maintainable configuration approach because more subgroups will be added in later waves.
Which action best resolves the premium issue while supporting later rollout waves?
Response:

A. Copy the premium setup into a subgroup-specific rule so payroll simulation can be completed without changing existing logic.
B. Add the recurring premium manually to affected employees during simulation and document the result for later configuration review.
C. Align the new subgroup with the payroll-relevant selection logic used by the existing premium configuration and retest simulation.
D. Approve the simulation because base pay is correct and the premium can be addressed after additional subgroups are added.

Answer: C

Explanation:
Feedback:
This addresses the configuration relationship between subgroup assignment and premium selection. Retesting after alignment validates that the existing configuration can support calculation, execution, and future rollout consistency.

Question: 5

A regional facilities management company is configuring Employee Central Payroll for employees who receive a location-based cleaning premium when assigned to approved high-risk client sites. Employee Central contains the approved site assignment before payroll simulation. The simulation calculates base pay correctly, but the premium is applied only to employees whose site assignment existed before the payroll period opened. Newly approved site assignments inside the same period are visible in employee data but are not reflected in the payroll result. The payroll lead wants a configuration-level correction before the next simulation. The constraint is that payroll must respect approved current-period assignments without manually entering premium amounts.
Which action best addresses the configuration issue?

Response:

A. Manually enter the missing premium amounts for affected employees and keep the current configuration unchanged for the simulation.
B. Close and reopen the payroll period so newly approved site assignments can be picked up during the next payroll execution.
C. Adjust the payroll configuration so approved site assignment data is evaluated for the relevant period and retest affected employees.
D. Ask Employee Central administrators to reapprove all high-risk site assignments before payroll simulation is started again.

Answer: C

Explanation:
Feedback:
This targets the configuration layer that determines how approved assignment data is interpreted for payroll calculation. Retesting confirms that source assignment, period relevance, premium determination, execution, and result validation are aligned.

Question: 6

A medical-device manufacturer is configuring Employee Central Payroll for a new salaried employee category before expanding the model to additional divisions. In payroll simulation, base salary and standard deductions calculate correctly, but a recurring employer-paid benefit is not triggered for the new category. The configuration team confirms that the benefit rule is active for existing salaried employees and that the new category is included in the payroll population. The payroll lead suggests creating a temporary benefit rule for the new category to complete the simulation. The implementation manager wants the configuration to remain reusable for later divisions.
Which action best resolves the missing benefit while preserving maintainable configuration?
Response:

A. Create a temporary benefit rule for the new category and replace it after later divisions are added.
B. Validate and correct the payroll-relevant category assignment used by the existing benefit rule, then retest simulation.
C. Manually add the employer-paid benefit to affected employees during simulation and document the expected outcome.
D. Approve the simulation because core salary and deduction behavior already work for the new employee category.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
This corrects the configuration dependency that determines whether the existing benefit rule applies during payroll execution. Retesting after assignment alignment validates reusable rule selection for the new category and future divisions.

Question: 7

A regional education group is preparing payroll administration support for a mixed Employee Central Payroll landscape. During a support rehearsal, payroll administrators can correct employee master data issues, but they cannot rerun validation for the corrected employees without asking the central implementation team. The implementation lead wants to keep support access narrow because payroll data includes sensitive employee information. The payroll operations manager requires same-day validation for corrected records during close week. The constraint is that support users must be able to complete controlled validation for assigned payroll populations without gaining broad configuration or cross-population access.
Which support model best fits the constraint?
Response:

A. Provide a restricted support role that allows validation rerun for assigned populations while keeping configuration and unrelated employee access excluded.
B. Give payroll administrators full configuration access during close week and remove it after payroll approval.
C. Require the central implementation team to run every validation after administrators correct employee data.
D. Allow administrators to copy corrected employee data into a separate support tracking file for manual validation evidence.

Answer: A

Explanation:
Feedback:
This aligns operational support with limited authority. It supports data correction, population-restricted access, validation execution, evidence generation, and controlled payroll support without expanding configuration ownership.

Question: 8

A telecommunications company is preparing payroll support for its first productive month after introducing SAP SuccessFactors Employee Central Payroll. Payroll supervisors need to monitor support cases related to payment questions, but the support model separates case triage from payroll detail review. During rehearsal, triage users can close cases without confirming whether a payroll specialist reviewed the underlying payroll detail. The support manager wants faster case closure, while the governance owner requires clear evidence that sensitive payroll records were reviewed only by the assigned payroll specialist. The consultant must recommend a process design that supports service speed without weakening controlled review.
Which recommendation best fits the support and governance requirements?
Response:

A. Allow triage users to close payment cases after recording the employee-reported issue and escalation decision.

B. Require payroll specialists to review assigned payroll details before closure and keep triage users limited to case-routing activities.
C. Give triage users temporary payroll detail access during the first productive month so they can close cases faster.
D. Remove triage from payment-related cases and send every payroll inquiry directly to payroll specialists for full review.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
This aligns case routing with controlled payroll detail access. It allows triage to manage intake while ensuring assigned payroll specialists perform the required review before closure evidence is created.

Question: 9

An insurance provider is preparing Payroll Control Center usage for a limited payroll validation pilot in a mixed Employee Central and Employee Central Payroll environment. The payroll director wants validation tasks to focus on employees with high-risk pay changes, while the operations team wants all validation messages visible so nothing is missed. In the pilot run, the dashboard shows a large volume of informational items, and payroll leads cannot complete assigned follow-up before the two-day validation window closes. The compliance team requires evidence that critical exceptions were assigned, reviewed, and closed before payroll release. The consultant must recommend a configuration approach that supports controlled validation without overwhelming the pilot users.
Which recommendation best supports the pilot objective and compliance requirement?
Response:

A. Display all validation messages during the pilot and ask payroll leads to prioritize critical items manually.
B. Configure the pilot validation process around critical exception categories with assigned ownership and closure tracking.
C. Delay Payroll Control Center validation until all payroll teams can review every message category in one process.
D. Allow payroll leads to export dashboard results and track critical exception closure outside the validation process.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
This aligns the configured validation scope with the pilot's risk-based objective. Assigning ownership and tracking closure supports exception identification, responsible follow-up, execution control, and release evidence within the validation window.

Question: 10

A regional cleaning-services company is preparing payroll support after moving inquiry handling into a structured support model for SAP SuccessFactors Employee Central Payroll. Branch coordinators may submit payroll inquiries and monitor status, but only payroll specialists may validate detailed payroll calculation results. During rehearsal, coordinators can mark cases as resolved after confirming that a worker is assigned to the correct branch, even when no payroll specialist has checked the calculation result behind the inquiry. The service lead wants one-day case turnaround, while the data protection reviewer requires evidence that sensitive payroll detail review remains restricted. The consultant must preserve support speed without expanding payroll-result access.

Which recommendation best supports the intended payroll support model?

Response:

A. Allow branch coordinators to close payroll inquiries after confirming branch assignment and reopen only disputed cases.
B. Require payroll specialist result review before case closure while branch coordinators continue inquiry submission and status tracking.
C. Temporarily grant branch coordinators payroll-result access during the first payroll month to maintain the one-day service target.
D. Route every payroll inquiry directly to payroll specialists and remove branch coordinators from support handling.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
This aligns support routing with the responsibility and access model. Branch coordinators can manage intake and status tracking, while assigned payroll specialists validate payroll results before closure evidence is created.

Topic: 2
Unified Scenario Exam

Question: 11

Unified Scenario: Hospitality Payroll Hypercare Readiness Scenario
CHALLENGE 3 — Correction Evidence for Hypercare Stabilization
Regional payroll administrators corrected several affected employee records before payment preparation. The final payroll output reconciled, but the review record does not clearly show whether property HR coordinators completed the intended review activity.

Which validation focus best addresses stabilization readiness?

Response:

A. Confirm that corrected payroll output matches finance expectations after payment preparation.
B. Validate that correction actions are linked to controlled review evidence before payment preparation.
C. Ask regional payroll administrators to keep a separate list of corrected employees for the next cycle.
D. Reduce property HR coordinator review activities until hypercare is complete.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
Stabilization requires traceability between review activity, correction handling, and final output. The key is not just that the output reconciled, but that corrections followed the intended control path.

Question: 12

Unified Scenario: Hospitality Payroll Hypercare Readiness Scenario
CHALLENGE 3 — Correction Evidence for Hypercare Stabilization
The sponsor accepts that hypercare may require central support, but wants to know which activities are normal operating steps and which are temporary support actions.
Which recommendation best supports that requirement?
Response:

A. Treat all regional payroll administrator corrections as normal until the resort workforce is added.
B. Separate assigned reviewer activity from temporary support activity in the payroll completion evidence.
C. Allow property HR coordinators to approve the final output only after central support finishes corrections.
D. Focus only on whether payment preparation completes on time during the next cycle.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
Separating normal reviewer activity from temporary support makes stabilization evidence usable. It shows whether the process can run as designed or still depends on hypercare intervention.

Question: 13

Unified Scenario: Hospitality Payroll Hypercare Readiness Scenario
CHALLENGE 4 — Support Access Boundaries for Payroll Investigation
A support group can investigate affected event-support employees, but its access also exposes payroll details for properties outside its support responsibility. The operations lead prefers keeping access broad until hypercare ends.
What is the best access decision?
Response:

A. Keep broad access during hypercare because investigation speed is more important than access refinement.
B. Limit support visibility to the employee and property scope needed for investigation while preserving needed troubleshooting access.
C. Remove support access entirely and require regional payroll administrators to investigate every affected employee.
D. Give property HR coordinators the same support access so they can investigate their own employees.

Explanation:

Feedback:

The access decision should preserve investigation capability while reducing unnecessary payroll visibility. This balances hypercare speed with sensitive payroll data boundaries.

Question: 14

Unified Scenario: Hospitality Payroll Hypercare Readiness Scenario
CHALLENGE 4 — Support Access Boundaries for Payroll Investigation
The sponsor wants the hotel payroll process stabilized before expanding to resort employees. Support users currently have more visibility than needed, but narrowing access could slow investigation of assignment-related payroll conditions.
Which recommendation is most appropriate?
Response:

A. Proceed with expansion because broad support access will help resolve resort payroll conditions quickly.
B. Restrict support access to investigation need and validate that affected records can still be reviewed within the payroll timeline.
C. Delay all payroll expansion until support users no longer need any employee-level payroll visibility.
D. Allow broad support visibility only for employees with temporary assignments and review access after expansion.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

This recommendation validates both access governance and operational performance before expansion. It avoids choosing between speed and control by testing whether narrowed access still supports timely investigation.

Thank You for Trying Our Product

For More Information – Visit link below:

https://www.examsboost.com/

15 USD Discount Coupon Code:

G74JA8UF

FEATURES

- ✓ **90 Days Free Updates**
- ✓ **Money Back Pass Guarantee**
- ✓ **Instant Download or Email Attachment**
- ✓ **24/7 Live Chat Support**
- ✓ **PDF file could be used at any Platform**
- ✓ **50,000 Happy Customer**